Volume 7 Pages 1755 - 1944 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, No. CR 08-0222 WHA vs. No. CR 14-0306 WHA LUKE D. BRUGNARA,

San Francisco, California

Defendant. Tuesday

May 5, 2015 7:30 a.m.

## TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

**APPEARANCES:** 

For Plaintiff: MELINDA HAAG

> United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Ave.

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: ROBIN HARRIS, AUSA

BENJAMIN KINGSLEY, AUSA

For Defendant: LUKE D. BRUGNARA

- pro se

Advisory Counsel: LAW OFFICES OF PAUL WOLF

717 Washington Street

Second Floor

Oakland, California 94607

BY: JAMES R. STEVENS, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

> Official Reporter - US District Court Computerized Transcription By Eclipse

1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)
2	
3	Advisory Counsel: LAW OFFICES OF TAMOR & TAMOR 311 Oak Street
4	Suite 108 Oakland, California 94607
5	BY: RICHARD ALAN TAMOR, ESQ.
6	
7	Also Present: FBI Special Agent Jeremy Desor
8	FBI Special Agent Aleksandr Kobzanets
9	Mary Mallory, U.S. Attorney's Office
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MAY 5, 2015 7:34 a.m. 3 (Defendant present, in custody.) 4 (The following proceedings were held outside of the 5 presence of the jury.) 6 THE COURT: All right. Both sides are now ready. 7 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, it seems like we're going to have some difficulty bringing in Ms. Record, who is under 8 9 subpoena. She has told our private investigator that she's not going to listen to him about coming in today at 11:00, which is 10 what we had asked her to do yesterday. 11 12 THE COURT: I can't hear you. Speak up. 13 MR. STEVENS: We asked her to come in at 11:00 14 yesterday and she's refusing to do so, and so I want to bring 15 that to the Court's attention and see if the Court could assist 16 us in making sure that she's here. 17 MR. BRUGNARA: Who is that? 18 MR. STEVENS: Ms. Record. 19 THE COURT: All right. Does the Government know 2.0 anything about this? 21 MS. HARRIS: No, your Honor. 22 Have you served her with a subpoena? THE COURT: 23 MR. STEVENS: It is my understanding that Andrew 2.4 served her with a subpoena and then -- the private 25 investigator, and then also returned the proof of service to

this Court. 2 THE COURT: Is that true, Dawn? Do we have proof of 3 service on her? 4 THE CLERK: I don't know, Judge. I'm not sure what 5 the Marshals have filed along that line of proof of service or 6 anything on the subpoenas. I don't have any record that I have 7 independently kept. THE COURT: Don't you know the answer? You should 8 know whether or not the proof of service has been returned and on the record. 10 MR. STEVENS: I understand, your Honor. I was 11 12 completely not in the loop when --13 THE COURT: If it turns out that she hasn't been served, then this is just a fool's errand. If she has been 14 15 served, then I'm going to get the Marshals to go arrest her. MR. STEVENS: I understand. 16 17 THE COURT: So you've got to help me on this and not 18 just come throwing yourself -- come on. 19 What is the answer, Dawn? Do we have a proof of service? 2.0 THE CLERK: I'm just scanning the docket right now. 21 I don't see proofs of service --22 THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to do a thing 23 until you show me proof that she was served. 24 MR. STEVENS: I'll do that. 25 **THE COURT:** Get your guy in here with a proof of

service. As soon as that's done, I will issue a bench warrant 2 for her arrest. She will go into custody until she testifies. 3 Now -- all right. Now, I need to come up with another 4 witness problem. The witness in New York, what's her name? 5 MR. STEVENS: Joan Michelman. 6 MR. BRUGNARA: Joan Michelman. 7 THE COURT: As soon as our -- I understand that you want to have her testify tomorrow at 10:30 New York time, 7:30 8 9 That's fine with me, if the Government has finished our time. its case -- will you have finished by then? 10 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, we anticipate resting this 11 12 morning. 13 And before Ms. Michelman is called as a witness, the Government wanted to be heard on its relevance objection. 14 Ι 15 think your Honor reserved judgment on that when we were 16 discussing her subpoena. 17 You'll recall that Mr. Brugnara wants to call her for art 18 transactions that happened in excess of a decade ago and the 19 Government has raised a reverse 404(b). It appears that the --2.0 there is no probative value to any defense in this case and 2.1 that he's using it to try and show, you know, character and 22 conformity today with what he did 10 or 12 years ago with an 23 unrelated art dealer. We don't see any relevance to her 24 testimony at all and any reason why she should be brought 25 before this Court. It's not relevant to a single defense that

the defendant can articulate. 2 THE COURT: I don't want to -- it has marginal 3 relevance. It's not overwhelming. It is ancient history. 4 But it has some relevance and I'm going to allow it if the 5 defense can get her there. If they can't get her there, then 6 under 403 it's out. 7 Now, have you talked to her, Mr. Stevens? MR. STEVENS: I have spoken with her. She is 8 9 prepared to go down to --**THE COURT:** Why is 7:30 tomorrow morning so critical? 10 11 Oh, it was going to be 7:45, is what MR. STEVENS: 12 I --13 **THE COURT:** Look. That's putting a lot of pressure on our staff here. 14 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I know this woman. 15 can go at any time. I mean, she --16 17 MR. STEVENS: That's not what she told me. She told 18 me that because of what's going on in the art world this week, 19 she -- I was trying to accommodate her schedule. 2.0 THE COURT: Here is what's going to happen. You get 21 with a guy named Stefan -- what's his last name? 22 THE CLERK: Curl. 23 THE COURT: C-U-R-L, who will show up in court 24 sometime this morning and you two work out the logistics of 25 how -- with the person in New York at the District Court of how

you're going to get this done in court. 2 Dawn, will try to help you some, but this is on you to 3 coordinate. I will give you the resources, but you've got to 4 make it happen. I don't have the time to make it happen. 5 I'm trying to do -- I'm giving you the resources here and then 6 if I -- if you can't get it pulled together in time, you need 7 to pull me in more. But I have a feeling there is no way on this short notice 8 9 that my staff here is going to be able to set up the equipment for tomorrow morning. Maybe it could be done. Maybe it could 10 be done the next day. I don't know. 11 12 So, but the burden is on you, Mr. Stevens. 13 All right. So that's all I'm going to say on that for right now. 14 15 All right. Now --16 I have an update, if you --MR. BRUGNARA: 17 THE COURT: Wait. Just a minute. 18 Did you have anything more to say? 19 MS. HARRIS: We had a couple of items we wanted to 2.0 bring to the Court's attention, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: What are those? 22 MS. HARRIS: As I mentioned, we do anticipate resting 23 this morning, and we have received no Rule 16 discovery for the 24 defendant. We would ask for any documents that he intends to 25 use in his case-in-chief be provided to the Government before

the case begins, and that would include any reports of 2 interviews that his private investigator has done. 3 We have provided all of the FBI 302s to the defense. 4 want all interviews that the private investigator has done of 5 any witnesses. 6 And then we would ask the Court -- the Court had before 7 said that if Mr. Brugnara was going to testify, the Court did not want to ask the questions. Mr. Stevens should. 8 9 understand that. We think it's appropriate, but we would ask the Court to 10 review the questions; not provide them to the Government, but 11 review them to make sure that Mr. Stevens isn't in the awkward 12 13 position of asking completely improper questions as drafted by the defendant. 14 15 My understanding is Mr. Stevens' role is not to -- that 16 the defendant is not listening to his legal advice --17 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor. I object, your Honor. 18 MS. HARRIS: -- and clearly --19 Stop. You're interrupting again. THE COURT: 2.0 MS. HARRIS: Clearly --2.1 MR. BRUGNARA: She is --22 MS. HARRIS: Clearly --23 MR. BRUGNARA: That is a presumptive comment. 24 is --25 THE COURT: Stop.

1 MS. HARRIS: There could be clearly improper questions in there that the Court could just deal with upfront 2 3 without involving the Government. 4 THE COURT: I'm going to tell Mr. Stevens now. 5 know -- you're experienced enough to know what is improper 6 under the rules laid down here, so you can't ask those kind of 7 improper questions. 8 MS. HARRIS: And then -- oh, okay. So Mr. Stevens 9 will be permitted to vet the questions? THE COURT: 10 Yes. 11 MS. HARRIS: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Yes. He's not going to be allowed to 13 just ask any question that Mr. Brugnara writes down. It's got 14 to be a proper question. He ought to know by now what a proper 15 question is. 16 MS. HARRIS: Then we would ask for an offer of proof. We received several witnesses last night that the defense 17 18 intends to call, and we would ask for an offer of proof on some 19 of them --2.0 THE COURT: Okay. Wait, wait. Let's go back. 2.1 Show me -- I think you're correct, but let's do this 22 right. 23 Under Rule 16 where does he have to turn over his 24 investigative reports? 25 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, we don't have any, so you

```
don't even have to worry about it. I haven't received one
   piece of paper from the private investigator. Not one slice of
 2
 3
            So there is nothing, nothing to hand over.
 4
              THE COURT: Is that true, Mr. Stevens?
 5
              MR. STEVENS:
                            I am -- he has emailed me about a
 6
    couple of the witnesses, but, no, there is nothing I would call
 7
    an investigative report.
              THE COURT:
                         Email would --
 8
 9
              MR. BRUGNARA: I haven't seen the emails.
              THE COURT: Mr. Stevens has seen it.
10
              MR. BRUGNARA: But I haven't.
11
                         That's too bad. Mr. Stevens has talked
12
              THE COURT:
13
    to you about it. So that's got to be turned over.
        Where does it require it in the -- in the reciprocal
14
15
   discovery? Let's point that out.
16
             MS. HARRIS: Under Rule 16(b).
17
              THE COURT: Which one?
18
              MS. HARRIS: Rule 16(b)(1)(A).
19
        And then as far as reports of examinations and tests, that
2.0
   would be Rule 16(1)(B), items the defendant intends to use in
   his case-in-chief.
2.1
22
        And then we also have an issue under Rule 16(1)(C), but
23
   I'll raise that with the Court after we deal with the --
24
   16(1)(A) is the documents. So any documents that the defendant
25
    intends to use in his case-in-chief, should have -- they should
```

have been provided. 2 THE COURT: But an email -- I mean, a document he 3 intends to use in the case-in-chief does not include hearsay 4 investigative reports. Even though you've turned over 302s, 5 that is -- really, you're not required to under Rule 16. You 6 just do that as a matter of grace and goodness of your heart. 7 But investigative reports are not required under case-in-chief materials. 8 9 MS. HARRIS: If they contain statements of the witness that were adopted, they would be Jencks, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Yes, that would be true, if they do. But 11 12 if they are 302s, never do. 13 MS. HARRIS: That's correct. But we don't know what 14 these contain and we don't know whether there is Giglio 15 material in them. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Stevens, I'm just going to say to you 17 this: You have reciprocal disclosure duties. You know what 18 has been generated by this expert -- not expert, this investigator. If it falls under 16(B), you've got to do your 19 2.0 duty and turn it over. 2.1 So do you, Mr. Brugnara. 22 And so there. That's what I -- that's all I can say on 23 that. MR. BRUGNARA: All right. 24 So --25 THE COURT: Now -- just a second.

```
1
        Now, what are the witnesses that you want to bring up that
   you feel we need an offer of proof on?
 2
 3
              MS. HARRIS: Mark Levinson, your Honor, appears to be
 4
   a noticed expert witness.
 5
        And then we need offers of proof as to the -- what are the
 6
    lenders' names?
 7
              THE COURT: Now, can I say something?
 8
              MS. HARRIS: Yes.
 9
              THE COURT: Did you notice Maibaum as an expert?
10
             MR. KINGSLEY: Yes, we did, your Honor. And if you
   recall, the defense moved to exclude Mr. Maibaum and Ms. Long
11
   back in December on the basis that they were unnoticed and this
12
   Court held that they were noticed.
13
14
              THE COURT: All right. So are you going to be
    eliciting any opinion testimony and expert testimony from
15
   Levinson?
16
17
             MR. BRUGNARA: Regarding the art?
18
              THE COURT: Regarding, like, let's say, the value of
19
   your home.
2.0
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
2.1
              THE COURT: Well, then, that won't be permitted
22
   because that's expert testimony.
23
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well, I'll get his opinion not as an
24
    expert then.
25
              THE COURT: Well --
```

```
1
             MR. BRUGNARA:
                             I'm entitled to that.
 2
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, then there would be no
 3
   purpose of calling him.
 4
             MR. BRUGNARA: She doesn't know what -- he was there
 5
   both times that Rose Long was at my house. So you know what,
 6
   your Honor, can --
 7
              THE COURT: I'll let him testify -- I'll let him
 8
   testify as to what --
 9
             MR. BRUGNARA: Can I not be interrupted --
                         I'll let him testify to what real offers
10
              THE COURT:
   were made, those kind of facts things, but just his mere
11
12
   professional opinion on what the house is worth --
13
             MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, he --
14
              THE COURT: -- that's expert.
15
             MR. BRUGNARA: He just sold a house two doors over
16
    this week. So the fact of the matter is, he is an expert as
   far as value --
17
18
              THE COURT: He could testify to what some other house
19
   sold for.
              That would be a fact, not an opinion. But what he
2.0
    cannot do is say: In my opinion --
2.1
             MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. Well, then --
22
              THE COURT: -- 224 Sea Cliff Avenue is worth
23
   $22 million.
24
             MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. Well, then, so what do I need
25
    to do to have him testify to that? So I'll --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: You should have given a notice a long
 2
    time ago.
 3
             MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. Well, I'll give the notice now
 4
   and then we can wait until -- listen. I want to tell you, I
 5
   just got dragged out of my cell again at 10:00 p.m. last night
 6
   with -- oh, yeah, so they came in right when I was finally
 7
   saying, okay, I think I'm going to get a few hours of
   sleep after --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: No. You're changing the subject. You're
    changing the subject.
10
11
             MR. BRUGNARA: No, but I'm just letting you know --
12
              THE COURT: I'm telling you that no opinion
    testimony, expert opinion testimony --
13
14
             MR. BRUGNARA: What do you need me to file? I'll
15
    file it --
16
              THE COURT: Nothing. It's too late.
17
             MR. BRUGNARA: It's not too late. Why is it too
18
    late?
          I just --
19
              THE COURT: Go read Rule 16. That's what Rule 16
2.0
    says.
21
             MR. BRUGNARA: And you have under Rule 2 the right to
22
   use discretionary power to adopt what's fair and interpret each
   rule as you see fit.
23
24
              THE COURT: Somebody back there is sneezing. Can
25
    somebody give them a -- do you need a --
```

1 UNIDENTIFIEDI SPEAKER: Thank you very much. okay. 2 3 **THE COURT:** Do you need a Kleenex? 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think I'm done. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 MR. BRUGNARA: I'm allowed to have -- Mark Levinson 7 has already testified under the Grand Jury, under the same capacity when Sprague was interviewing him. And he's already 8 testified in this Court during the bail hearing. And he has a right to opine on the value --10 THE COURT: He doesn't have a right to do anything. 11 This is not his courtroom. 12 13 MR. BRUGNARA: You know what? I will file whatever I need to file to have him. And I'll continue to draw witnesses 14 15 in and I'll -- and -- until we get to the point where it's allowed. 16 17 I mean, this -- I have been foreclosed from cross 18 examining plaintiff's witnesses and every witness. I'm being foreclosed out of having meaningful cross examination. 19 2.0 one I have been cut short. And you know what? I'm not going 2.1 to be foreclosed, certainly, from my defense. 22 THE COURT: Very little that you've done by way of 23 examining these witnesses has been meaningful. 24 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, let me tell you --25 THE COURT: You have wasted and squandered your

1 opportunities. 2 MR. BRUGNARA: You're saying when I got 13 minutes 3 with the FBI agent, that's sufficient, when they examined her 4 for, questioned her for two hours --5 **THE COURT:** You had about 30 seconds of useful 6 questions out of your time. 7 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, you know what? My idea of what's useful will tie together in the closing argument, and I 8 get information based upon my questions, and I have a lot of other subject matters --10 THE COURT: You didn't get any information. You just 11 12 made speeches. 13 MR. BRUGNARA: I did not make speeches. I have a bunch of questions that I already passed through my associate 14 15 counsel that has 20 years experience, that agree that they are meaningful and necessary for the closing argument --16 17 THE COURT: I don't believe it. 18 MR. BRUGNARA: -- and I need a fair amount of time to 19 cross examine their witnesses, otherwise --2.0 THE COURT: All right. Now we're going back to --2.1 MR. BRUGNARA: I want to tell you what happened last 22 night. 23 THE COURT: No. You don't get a chance to say that 24 yet. 25 Ms. Harris, did you have more other witnesses you want to

1 bring up? 2 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, we would like an offer of 3 proof as to what the defendant intends Ms. Record to say, if 4 she's going to testify today, an offer of proof as to what 5 meaningful defense she is going to testify to. 6 THE COURT: I'm not going to go there. We know what 7 she's -- we know what Mr. Brugnara wants. He wants to say that she gave him medical attention. I assume that's what it is 8 9 and -- what is that smell? It's a marker, and it's extremely strong. 10 THE CLERK: I'm not going to require that. 11 THE COURT: MS. HARRIS: Okay. And then the next issue is the 12 defendant noticed Bob Kane, the attorney, and we would then ask 13 for a ruling that if Mr. Kane testifies, the attorney-client 14 15 privilege is going to be waived. 16 THE COURT: It's possible, but not necessarily. 17 There are things that Kane could -- Mr. Kane could testify to 18 that would not waive the attorney-client privilege, and there 19 are certainly things that would waive the privilege. I have to 2.0 wait and see how it develops. 2.1 MS. HARRIS: But he would not be permitted to get in 22 the hearsay statements that the defendant was trying to get in 23 through Mr. Kane's emails yesterday and the Court excluded. 24 Just calling Mr. Kane as a witness doesn't the cure the hearsay 25 problem.

```
1
              THE COURT: That part is correct. But, nevertheless,
    there are things that he could testify to. For example, his
 2
 3
    conversations with Mister -- is it Schochet?
 4
              MS. HARRIS: Schochet, that's correct.
 5
              THE COURT: Schochet. Those would not be privileged.
 6
   And so there are things that he could testify to.
        Apparently, he went out and did measurements --
 7
              MR. BRUGNARA: Four hours out there.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: -- maybe he took pictures --
             MR. BRUGNARA: He did.
10
              THE COURT: Please.
11
         So he -- there are things he could testify to that would
12
13
   not waive the privilege.
        But you should be very careful, Mr. Brugnara, because I've
14
    seen it many times. Questions can be asked where he waives the
15
16
   privilege and then it's an open door. So you need to be -- and
17
   another thing that is an open door is if through the manner of
18
    questioning you leave the impression that he somehow blessed
19
   your course of conduct, that's a waiver.
2.0
             MR. BRUGNARA: That's subjective.
2.1
              THE COURT: That's what I'm going to be listening
22
    carefully for.
                    So be very careful not to leave the
23
    impression --
24
              MR. BRUGNARA: Okay.
                                    I have a question.
25
              THE COURT: -- that this lawyer blessed your course
```

of conduct. 1 2 MR. BRUGNARA: I have a question on this subject 3 matter. And I want to make one preceding comment. 4 You know, Ms. Harris, her preference would be for me to 5 not be able to put on any defense at all. She likes the fact 6 that you're foreclosing all my rights to cross examine her 7 witnesses, and I object to all that. I'm just -- I am going to just call back whoever I want 8 9 in my case-in-chief, whoever I was foreclosed out from cross examining, which I have a right to do in my case-in-chief. 10 11 THE COURT: Maybe. We'll see. 12 MR. BRUGNARA: But, regarding Bob Kane. If you feel 13 there is a question, I ask this Court to notify me, because I'm a pro se defendant, at the inception of the question. 14 15 And, moreover, it would have to be answered by Bob Kane, 16 because Bob Kane is as experienced as you are, and I'm sure he 17 won't answer any questions that he feels broaches on the 18 attorney-client privilege. 19 THE COURT: I'm not going to do that, because I can't 2.0 promise to do that, because that would be impossible given the 21 way that you proceed in the courtroom. It is impossible to get 22 a word in edgewise. 23 You could ask a question, lay some information before the 24 jury even. If the witness doesn't answer it, then you've 25 infected the jury with the idea that the witness has blessed

your course of conduct. So you are on your own on this one. I'm sorry. I cannot promise you I will be able to save you 2 3 from that disaster. 4 MR. BRUGNARA: Regarding Mark Levinson again. I just 5 want to make, then, an oral -- I guess, whatever the motion is, 6 or do you need it in writing? Because the fact of the matter 7 is I'm going to have --THE COURT: Go read Rule 16 and comply. 8 9 MR. BRUGNARA: I know Rule 16. I know Rule 2 is you have the authority to pretty much 10 usurp every code in that book based upon judicial fairness. 11 So under Rule 2 you have control of doing what -- reinterpreting 12 13 any rule in that book. You can reinterpret anything to the directives of this Court. 14 15 So I'm asking you under Rule 2 to say that that statutory 16 period can be waived so we -- you know, for the sake of time. 17 Because I'm going to have him -- I am going to go have him 18 testify about the value of the house. I have that right because they brought in -- they brought 19 2.0 it into question, your Honor, when they brought it up yesterday 21 saying it was in foreclosure and it was underwater and there 22 was no equity. They are the one that opened the door to it, 23 not me. 24 MS. HARRIS: Those were Mr. Brugnara's statements 25 about the condition of the house, your Honor, and Mr.

```
Brugnara's admissions, not expert testimony. He has been told
   many times what he needs to do to notice an expert --
 2
 3
             MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor. Your Honor --
 4
             MS. HARRIS: He's interrupting again, your Honor.
 5
             MR. BRUGNARA: She said --
 6
              THE COURT: Stop it, Mr. Brugnara.
 7
             MR. BRUGNARA: And that's why -- because she will
    interrupt every time I speak. This is the thing. They brought
 8
 9
    in --
              THE COURT: She didn't interrupt you.
10
                            They brought in photographs of the
11
             MR. BRUGNARA:
12
   house yesterday and put them out to the public out there of
13
   probably 600 square feet of an 8,000-foot house making it look
    like, just like you said, Paris burning. Okay?
14
15
        The reality is that is not the reality. The reality is
16
    that's a small piece of the house. Ms. Hadley even commented,
17
   you know, that's just a small fraction of the pictures.
18
        And I'm going to have Mark Levinson testify as to what
19
    that house is worth. The gallery is --
2.0
              THE COURT: No, you're not, unless I give you
21
   permission to do so.
22
        Rule 16 requires a written summary of any expert testimony
23
    that you intend to use under Rule 702, 703 or 705. And you
24
   haven't given any written summary to the Government. So you're
25
   not going to be able to do that until -- if you did it, I might
```

```
allow you to do it late, but I -- I can interpret the rules
   under Rule 2, but I cannot -- I cannot ignore the rules under
 2
 3
   Rule 2.
 4
             MR. BRUGNARA: Well, I just wanted to let you know in
 5
   that vein of what we're talking about. Last night -- and just
 6
   to let you know what --
 7
             THE COURT: Go ahead. Tell us what happened last
 8
   night.
 9
             MR. BRUGNARA: You know, I want a, you know,
   metaphoric pat on the back. I am a champ in this case, for the
10
11
   benefit of the U.S. Attorneys also, man, because I could have
   delayed this out a week after what happened to me on Friday and
12
13
   I didn't. And I was tired yesterday, okay? Really tired.
        Last night I was finally getting ready to go to bed.
14
                                                               Ιt
   was, I don't know, whatever, 9:30, 9:00 o'clock. And they're
15
16
   like: Okay, Brugnara, time to move. I go: What? I thought
17
   it was a joke. They go: No. You're going back, I guess, to
18
   Glenn Dyer. I said: Okay. Well, I'll need at least --
19
             THE COURT:
                         That's what you wanted.
2.0
             MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, but at least -- but not at, you
21
   know, 10:00, 11:00 o'clock at night.
22
        Okay. So he said -- so boxed up everything. Threw it in
23
   a bunch of boxes.
24
         I mean, I'm glad that it happened, but it would have been
25
   nice to happen at, you know, 1:00 in the afternoon or 2:00.
```

1 So by the time I got situated all the way back to Glenn Dyer, about the middle -- it was about 1:00 o'clock in the 2 3 morning. And, you know, these guys come get me 5:00 or, you 4 know, 6:00. They wake me up at 4:00 o'clock in order to eat 5 breakfast. I got another two hours sleep. 6 Listen, man. From last Friday -- actually, from Thursday 7 night to today, I've had three hours of sleep. 8 Now, I'm not making excuses. I'm just letting you know the sacrifices --THE COURT: Are you asking for a continuance? 10 This is the thing. 11 MR. BRUGNARA: No. This is a 12 fight. You can't back down now. 13 The reality -- I just want the Court to be aware -- and this is all documented through Alameda and the Marshals. You 14 15 can confirm it. 16 What I'm saying is, I want a little bit of leniency here 17 as far as --18 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you. 19 MR. BRUGNARA: The fact that --2.0 THE COURT: -- violate the rules --2.1 MR. BRUGNARA: I'm not -- listen, I'm not going to 22 violate any rules. But I am doing a great service to the Court 23 and even the U.S. Attorneys not asking for delays that I'm 24 entitled to at that point because of what's happened to me. 25 And I'm willing to plod ahead here, but, you know, this is

getting ridiculous, man. 2 THE COURT: I cannot -- I can consider a motion for 3 continuance. You're not making that. But what I can't do is 4 Okay, since you don't want the continuance, I'll let 5 you -- I give you a blank check to violate rules. I'm not 6 going to do that. 7 MR. BRUGNARA: I understand. That's not what I'm 8 asking you to do. 9 I'm just asking you to understand, be understanding and compassionate to the circumstances. 10 11 THE COURT: I am sympathetic to that circumstance of 12 what happened to you over the weekend. I've made that very 13 clear. But that does not cut a figure when it comes to a 14 proper procedure in the courtroom. 15 All right. I have something --16 MR. BRUGNARA: There is one other question you 17 asked --18 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. 19 MR. BRUGNARA: -- you said: When you come in the 2.0 morning, ask me what questions you're going to ask Ms. Hadley. 2.1 THE COURT: Who? 22 MR. BRUGNARA: Ms. Hadley, the FBI girl. 23 THE COURT: No. 24 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay? 25 THE COURT: Agent. Not girl. Agent.

```
1
              MR. BRUGNARA: The FBI agent.
              THE COURT: She's a grown woman. Come on.
 2
 3
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             The FBI agent.
 4
         She -- and, again, my preference would have been to
 5
   question Mr. Desor, because I prefer not questioning her, but
 6
    they don't want to put him on the witness stand. But I do have
 7
   questions for her. And one of them is about the Natalia, the
   Russian girl's -- the Russian woman's --
 8
 9
              THE COURT: I think you should do this. Write these
         I will consider -- give it to the Government, write it
10
11
    out, and then maybe you get to call her back because she's off
12
    on some surgery today --
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: And I -- I -- listen --
14
              THE COURT: -- we're not going to call her back
15
    today.
16
              MR. BRUGNARA: I'm sympathetic, empathetic to that.
17
    I'm not looking to disrupt her, but I just have a few questions
18
    to ask her. Just --
              THE COURT: You need to write them out and see if I
19
2.0
    think that they are relevant enough to require her to come
   back.
2.1
22
             MS. HARRIS: And those would be provided to the
23
   Government?
24
              THE COURT: Of course they would be, yes.
25
             MR. BRUGNARA: And you want -- we have -- the final
```

```
question I have is, you want -- and I just want to get a
 2
   confirmation on this because you said last week when I do the
 3
    case-in-chief, I do the completeness of the record for the Form
 4
    12 for me, my testimony, correct? Or do you want me to do it
 5
   now?
 6
              THE COURT: You need to write it out so that I can
 7
   see what you propose to put in, so we -- we don't do it on the
    fly, which particular passages you think are necessary for the
 8
    sake of completeness. That ought not to be so hard for you to
10
   do.
                             I have it right here.
11
              MR. BRUGNARA:
              THE COURT: Show it to the Government and then show
12
13
    it to me.
         (Document was shown to the counsel.)
14
15
              MR. BRUGNARA: You want me to mark it?
              THE COURT: I think it should be marked so there will
16
17
   be a record on appeal.
18
              MR. BRUGNARA: Let me get a pen and mark it.
19
              THE COURT:
                         I have something I want to raise with all
2.0
    of you, if I may, but I want the defendant to be...
2.1
        All right. Yesterday the Government moved for summary
22
               I granted that. I said that the defendant was
    contempt.
23
   guilty of summary contempt and that I would decide later what
24
    the number of days of additional imprisonment should be, and
25
    the answer is 21. So 21 days will be added to the days
```

1 already. 2 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I --3 THE COURT: No, no. You don't get to argue any more. 4 We had the argument yesterday. 5 And I want to remind the defendant that I have told you 6 many times, there are some things you should not be doing. 7 There were four we started out with. Plus, to comply with the Rules of Evidence. And then as time has gone on, I've added to 8 9 that list. I'm going to give you some of those -- some of those do not do's. I'm going to remind you of those. 10 No speeches wherein you lay facts before the jury that are 11 not in evidence allegedly in the form of a question. I've seen 12 13 you do that the at least 200 times. No interrupting the judge when he is speaking or making a 14 15 ruling. 16 No interrupting the Government when they are speaking. 17 And no more questions that begin with, "Would it surprise 18 you." A totally improper question. 19 No arguing with witnesses. 2.0 No talking over the government's objections. 2.1 Now, there are some other things like now that Maibaum and 22 Long have already testified, no more mention of the Maibaum 23 versus Long lawsuit with other witnesses. In the closing you 24 can make your arguments, but those other witnesses have nothing 25 to do with that lawsuit.

1 So there were quite a number of other things. I haven't given you an exhaustive list. You have just thumbed your nose 2 at the Court. And even though you're pro se, you're doing 3 4 exactly what you told your mother you were going to do --5 MR. BRUGNARA: No, I didn't --6 THE COURT: Just a second. 7 MR. BRUGNARA: You keep saying that. THE COURT: The Government is -- here is the other 8 9 thing. If this continues, the Government has the blessings of 10 the Court to put in evidence that statement you made to your 11 mother on the telephone. So the jury will see exactly what's 12 been going on here. 13 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. Well, then we're definitely 14 going to have completeness of the record. Actually, I would --I would stipulate to that because it's a 15-minute call and I 15 16 talk about all sorts of things --17 THE COURT: No. It would just be the part that 18 the --19 MR. BRUGNARA: No, no. That would be prejudicial 2.0 This is -then. 2.1 That's the whole point, to show the THE COURT: No. 22 jury what you have been up to. It was a concocted plan from 23 the get-go. 24 MR. BRUGNARA: I want to correct the record here 25 about what you just said.

1 You said Maibaum versus Long didn't include Mr. Schochet. Is that his name? Schochet? Mr. Schochet's name is in that 2 3 lawsuit at least ten times. So the fact is it did include him. 4 THE COURT: No, that doesn't matter. The only people 5 who made admissions in that lawsuit are Maibaum and Long. 6 are the only way that that case could have been brought in by 7 way of impeachment or contradictions. But Schochet is not one of the witnesses -- I'm sorry. 8 9 may be a witness in that case, but he's not a party who has made any admissions unless he's testified or given declarations 10 in that case. If he did that, then he could be impeached with 11 his own declaration. That would be okay. But I'm pretty sure 12 13 that hasn't happened. 14 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor? THE COURT: 15 Yes. 16 MS. HARRIS: On that list that you just read to the 17 defendant of prohibited conduct, could you add that he is not 18 to call any of the witnesses liars in front of the jury. Не 19 has done that on several occasions. 2.0 That's improper, too. You can't do that THE COURT: 21 until closing argument. Then you're free to call anybody you 22 want a liar, at least a witness a liar. But you cannot -- you 23 cannot be doing that and making these arguments as you go 24 along --25 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. Can you --

1 THE COURT: -- with the witnesses. 2 MR. BRUGNARA: And can you admonish her and tell her 3 not to interrupt me? When she makes an objection, not to stand 4 up and approach the stand? My understanding is she should be 5 seated down when she makes an objection until you hear --6 unless you call her forward. 7 So I don't want her bullying me while I'm doing my cross examination or I'm doing my case-in-chief because she --8 9 THE COURT: There is nothing wrong with a lawyer standing up and approaching the lectern to make an objection. 10 That's okay. 11 12 MR. BRUGNARA: Well --THE COURT: She's not doing anything wrong. 13 14 Whenever you're doing something improper, she's entitled to stand up and make an objection --15 16 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. 17 THE COURT: -- and freeze things until I can sort it 18 out. 19 MR. BRUGNARA: And, also, I want her, also, to be 2.0 admonished for directing this Court how to conduct its protocol 21 rather than putting it in the form of a request or a proffer. 22 She constantly makes statements to this Court: You need 23 to do this. He's doing that, and you need to do this. 24 the Court, as if the Court is a puppet and she's the puppeteer, 25 of how you must act in her courtroom. She's not the judge in

this case. She's the plaintiff. She's the prosecutor. 2 The fact is you're the judge and she constantly -- and I 3 have been in courtrooms. You don't say to the judge: Oh, well 4 you have to do this. You know, the law is this and you need to 5 do this. 6 She has done that 99 percent of the time when she presents 7 her complaints to you, instead of saying: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brugnara doing this and this is the Code and we 8 request -- we request that the Court do this. She never requests it. She always tells you what to do. And then you 10 Well, that's true. You know, you're blah, blah, blah, and 11 12 then you need to do this. She never asks you in a proper form 13 and it's disrespectful to me. THE COURT: Well, I believe the record is to the 14 contrary and Ms. Harris has behaved admirably in the 15 16 circumstances. So that request is denied. 17 All right. Are we ready to go on with the trial? 18 MS. HARRIS: Yes, we are, your Honor. 19 And I did want to alert the Court that we're going to be 2.0 reading some exhibits into evidence, some certified copies, 21 this morning. 22 THE COURT: All right. You can do that. Assuming 23 that -- is there any controversial one? 24 MS. HARRIS: Well, I'll tell the Court what they are. 25 I can't imagine there would be controversy, but my imagination

is not that broad. 2 We're going to be reading the indictment as it existed 3 before the defendant absconded. We're not going to read the 4 indictment in, but place it in, the certified copy of the 5 indictment as it existed to show that there were charges from 6 which he was fleeing. 7 We are going to read the -- have the furlough order, a certified copy of the "Order Re Furlough Procedures." 8 9 We are then going to have the acknowledgment of the "Order Re Furloughs" which has Mr. Brugnara, Mr. Stevens and 10 Mr. Babcock's signatures. 11 Then we are going to have the certified copy of the order 12 regarding the activation of the furlough procedures. 13 And then we're going to have the "Order Re the Trial Date" 14 15 read in so that the jury is aware that there was an imminent trial date from which he fled. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. All those sound fine to me. 18 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, except the last comment. 19 didn't hear all of them. The last one, "imminent trial date from which he fled." 2.0 didn't flee from an imminent trial date. I fled because of my 2.1 22 medical circumstances. 23 THE COURT: That's what you say, but they are 24 entitled to show there was an imminent trial date and then they 25 will argue to the jury: Why do you think he fled five days

```
before the trial, or whatever it was.
 2
                             That's a closing argument, though.
              MR. BRUGNARA:
 3
   They can't -- just like I can't make that argument on cross
 4
    examination --
 5
              THE COURT: You're not going to make that argument
 6
   when you present it.
 7
              MS. HARRIS: No.
              THE COURT: You will just present the evidence, of
 8
 9
    course, and then make that argument at closing.
10
             MR. BRUGNARA: So I'm allowed to object, then, if she
   makes that -- if she tries to make that argument not at
11
12
   closing?
13
              THE COURT: Well, if she were to try to say: Now
14
   we're going to explain to you why he fled, and then start
   reading that document, I would sustain your objection and say:
15
16
    Save that for the argument.
17
        But she's entitled to put the evidence in --
18
              MR. BRUGNARA: Of course.
19
              THE COURT: -- that that was a lie.
2.0
              MR. BRUGNARA: Of course. Of course, she is.
2.1
              THE COURT: But she's not going to do that.
22
                             I just want to make sure --
              MR. BRUGNARA:
23
              THE COURT:
                         She's a good lawyer --
24
              MR. BRUGNARA: Really? I just want to --
25
              THE COURT: -- she's not going to do that.
```

```
1
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             I disagree.
              THE COURT: Well, I have had experience with --
 2
 3
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             So have I.
 4
              THE COURT: -- a lot of the lawyers in this
 5
    courtroom --
 6
              MR. BRUGNARA: So have I.
 7
              THE COURT: -- and I'm telling you, she has behaved
 8
   better than you have.
 9
             MR. BRUGNARA: I agree with that, but I disagree with
    the first comment.
10
              THE COURT: All right. Let's -- can we bring -- just
11
12
   a second.
               There was something else I wanted to bring up.
13
        Are you ready to go with your first witness today?
14
              MR. STEVENS: Yes.
15
              THE COURT:
                         What?
16
              MR. STEVENS: Yes.
17
         (Discussion held off the record between the defendant
18
          and his advisory counsel.)
19
              THE COURT: Now, we cannot be in a position where we
2.0
   have a lot of time left on the clock. If it's a few minutes,
21
    I'll let you have that. But we can't be in a position where we
22
    waste part of the jury's day, a large part of it.
23
         So you need to be ready with your first witness, unless
24
   you're going to -- if we get up to, like, 12:30, I'll let it go
25
    though. But if you're going to rest a lot sooner than that,
```

then we need to have a witness ready to go. 2 MS. HARRIS: We do anticipate that, and we would ask 3 just for a very short recess so that we can make we all our 4 exhibits in evidence and then we will rest in front of the 5 jury, if that is okay. 6 THE COURT: All right. Let's get started here. So, Judge Alsup? 7 MR. STEVENS: What? Yes. 8 THE COURT: Wait. 9 I'm not sure if this is the right forum MR. STEVENS: to have this discussion, but Mr. Brugnara is now arguing --10 MR. BRUGNARA: It's not the right forum. 11 It's not 12 the right forum. And it's not the right forum. 13 The first --MR. STEVENS: If we could have an in camera to 14 discuss what's going on with the witnesses so I can inform the 15 16 Court of what I've done and where we're at, I would appreciate 17 it. 18 THE COURT: I need to hear from Mr. Brugnara. You 19 need to have your witnesses here. You're in charge. 2.0 MR. BRUGNARA: My understanding was that it would be 21 Tony Crossley and Frank Sanders, and then --22 THE COURT: Are you going to have them here? 23 MR. BRUGNARA: James -- listen. I don't access to a 24 phone, okay? So, obviously, if you give me a phone, I'll phone 25 them right now.

1 But the third one isn't going to be going until tomorrow because I don't have any of the information. It's in boxes and 2 3 in plastic bags that I need to sort through, probably tonight 4 sometime. 5 But I'm prepared --6 THE COURT: We will have a -- if need be, an in 7 camera thing later in the morning. Right now we're going to get started with the government's case. 8 9 Go ahead. Bring in the jury. (Jury enters courtroom at 8:04 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Welcome back and be 11 12 seated. We are ready to go to the government's next witness. 13 MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor, the Government moves to admit portions of Exhibit 6, the videotaped deposition of 14 15 Natalia Shlyapina and publish it and play it to the jury now. 16 THE COURT: All right. Any objection? 17 MR. BRUGNARA: Completeness of the record, your 18 Honor. THE COURT: Well, the Government will put in the 19 2.0 portions it wishes. If it turns out that something needs to be 21 put in for the sake of completeness, then we'll show it to the 22 jury separately. 23 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, under the Brady, we also 24 make that objection. 25 THE COURT: All right. Those objections are

overruled. 1 2 Please proceed. What is that exhibit number, 6? 3 MR. KINGSLEY: It's Exhibit 6, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. And the court reporter will 5 not try to record it. You need to put in for the record an 6 exact duplicate of the portions actually shown to the jury. 7 Are you able to do that? MR. KINGSLEY: We are able to do that. And we can 8 9 provide a transcript to the court reporter as well. THE COURT: Let me explain to the jury what's going 10 This is new. You know, I've told you many times that it's 11 the testimony from the stand under oath that counts. You're 12 13 about to hear testimony from a witness who is not going to be testifying live in the courtroom, but gave testimony in this 14 15 case by way of what's called deposition a few months ago. And 16 this witness's name is who? Give me the name again and spell 17 it. 18 MR. KINGSLEY: Natalia, N-A-T-A-L-I-A. Shlyapina, 19 S-H-L-Y-A-P-I-N-A. 2.0 THE COURT: All right. And she is no longer in the 2.1 United States and so is not available to testify. So the rules 22 permit both sides to show up and appear at the deposition and 23 take the testimony down and then play it back to the jury 24 later. So that's the way it works. 25 So this is evidence in the case. It counts just as much

```
as testimony from the stand, and I believe -- correct me if I'm
   wrong, but both sides at that time were represented by counsel,
 2
 3
    is that correct?
 4
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                             That's correct, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: And the name of the lawyer who was then
 6
    represented -- whose name may appear -- who was representing
 7
    the defendant then is who?
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                             That was Erik Babcock.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: And was the defendant present at the
   proceeding?
10
                             The defendant was present.
11
              MR. KINGSLEY:
12
              THE COURT: All right. So you will now -- but the
    judge was not present, but the judge does not need to be
13
14
   present.
15
         So this is not to be given any special weight.
                                                          It's just
16
    to be treated just like any other testimony in the case.
                                                               It's
17
   up to you to decide how much weight to give testimony or any
    other evidence in the case.
18
19
        All right. So at this time it's going to be played to
2.0
          Is it all set up to go got jury box?
2.1
              THE CLERK: As soon as they start, yes.
22
              THE COURT: And give us just a heads up. How long it
23
   will last, roughly?
24
              MR. KINGSLEY: I think around 10 to 15 minutes, your
25
   Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. So it helps the jury to
 2
   understand how long it's going to be.
 3
        All right. So we will all go silent now and we will hear
 4
    the videotaped deposition of that witness.
 5
                          NATALIA SHLYAPINA,
 6
   called as a witness for the Government herein, testified via
 7
   videotaped deposition played in open court in the presence and
   hearing of the jury.
 8
 9
         (Time noted: 8:07 a.m.)
             MR. KINGSLEY: Can you make sure the jury can hear
10
11
    it?
12
              THE COURT: Can you hear okay?
13
         (Jurors responding negatively.)
              THE COURT: We've turned it up as loud as it will go
14
15
   here. Can you turn it up louder on your end?
         (Brief pause.)
16
17
         (Videotaped deposition resumed.)
18
              THE COURT: All right. Can you hold? One second.
                                                                   Ι
19
   need to give a special admonition.
2.0
         Is this in Russian and it's interpreted?
2.1
             MR. KINGSLEY: Yes.
22
              THE COURT: All right. This happens when you have a
23
    foreign language witness. What counts for your purposes is the
24
   English that you hear.
25
        At least one of you on the jury understands Russian.
                                                                You
```

must disregard anything you hear in Russian. What counts is 2 the English. The English translation is all that counts. 3 And so don't be over there trying to do your own 4 interpretations. You listen to the English part. That's what 5 counts as testimony in the case. 6 So you will hear the question in English. There will be a 7 translation. And then an answer in Russian. And then it gets translated. It's a little cumbersome. You'll get used to it. 8 9 It works pretty well. 10 I'm sorry for the interruption. Please go ahead. (Videotaped deposition resumed.) 11 MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor, that's the entirety of the 12 13 deposition that the Government moves to admit at this time. (Time noted: 8:35 a.m.) 14 15 THE COURT: All right. So that -- what the jury has now seen is evidence in the case. 16 17 So we go as to the next witness. 18 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, I want to get -- I have an 19 objection on completeness of the record. I think the jury should see the complete video, if they are going to see any of 2.0 21 it. 22 THE COURT: Well, you -- if you wish to submit 23 portions that you would like to show the jury, we will show 2.4 those, if it's required, under the rule of completeness. 25 Or, if you independently wish to present any of it, you

can present that to me out of the presence of the jury and we'll go over it and -- but for right now we don't need to do 2 3 that. 4 So the Government can present its next witness. 5 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, at this point the Government 6 would move to admit certain certified records and after they 7 are admitted, to read portions to the jury. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 8 9 MS. HARRIS: First, we would move to admit as Government's Exhibit 135, which is a certified copy of the 10 11 indictment returned against defendant Luke Brugnara on 12 July 22nd, 2014 -- July 17th. I'm sorry, your Honor. 13 July 17th, 2014. THE COURT: All right. 14 15 MS. HARRIS: That's Government's Exhibit 135. THE COURT: That's received in evidence. 16 17 (Trial Exhibit 135 received in evidence.) THE COURT: Just to clarify something for the jury. 18 19 We are here at trial on -- is it the second superseding indictment? 2.0 21 MS. HARRIS: Correct, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. We're here on a later 23 indictment, but the prior indictment that the Government just 24 referred to is being admitted as potentially relevant from your 25 deliberations for an issue which we don't need to get into now,

but I don't want you to be confused about what indictment we're here on. We're here at trial on a later indictment. 2 3 And, again, I remind you that no indictment is evidence of 4 quilt in the case. 5 All right. Go ahead. Next. 6 MS. HARRIS: The next exhibit is Exhibit 136, which 7 is a certified copy of document No. 257, the December 23rd, 2014 "Order Re" --8 9 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor. Your Honor, I have an objection on prior, that I just spoke with counsel. I want the 10 11 jury to also be aware we have a pending appeal to set aside the indictment with the Ninth Circuit. 12 13 THE COURT: All right. That's irrelevant. 14 Court of Appeals, of course, were to say to the judge: 15 goofed up in some way and -- that's their prerogative. They 16 have -- they are way up there and I'm down here. 17 But we're down here right now and I -- any pending appeal is of no moment. 18 19 So, go ahead. 2.0 Thank you, your Honor. MS. HARRIS: So the next exhibit we would seek to introduce is 2.1 22 Government's Exhibit 136, which was the December 23rd, 2014 23 certified copy of the "Order Re Furlough Procedures" for 24 defendant Luke Brugnara. 25 THE COURT: All right. That's admitted into

```
evidence. 136 is received.
 1
 2
         (Trial Exhibit 136 received in evidence.)
 3
              MS. HARRIS: Now, we would like to publish that for
 4
   the jury and read certain portions.
 5
              THE COURT: All right.
 6
         (Document displayed)
 7
              MS. HARRIS: Starting at Page 1, if you could just,
   Denise, blow up the second -- the first paragraph that begins,
8
9
    "The United States Marshal's office..."
        And I'll read this into the record.
10
             "The United States Marshals office will
11
         facilitate the following furlough procedure for
12
13
        Defendant Brugnara, a current pretrial detainee at
        Glenn Dyer jail. When defendant's lead lawyer Erik
14
15
        Babcock makes an appointment by 4:00 p.m. the
16
        previous day, the Marshal Service" --
17
              THE COURT: Previous business day.
18
             MS. HARRIS: Excuse me, your Honor.
19
             "... previous business day, the Marshals Service
2.0
         shall bring defendant to the marshals lock-up
21
         facility in either Oakland" -- and there is a phone
22
        number -- "or San Francisco as requested.
23
        Marshals shall then release defendant, wearing
24
         clothing to be provided by the defendant's family, to
25
         the temporary custody of defendant's lead lawyer,
```

1 Erik Babcock, for the sole purpose of meeting with 2 his counsel in the Attorneys' Lounge in the same 3 building. Attorney Babcock must physically escort 4 defendant from the lock-up facility directly to the 5 Attorneys' Lounge when he accepts custody." 6 And then if we could skip to the sentence about, "During 7 furlough sessions in the San Francisco facility" and highlight that? 8 9 (Document highlighted.) MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor? Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: What? 11 MR. BRUGNARA: Can we have a sidebar? I concurred 12 13 with counsel. It's relevant. **THE COURT:** No. This is already in evidence. 14 We're not going to have a sidebar while it's being published. 15 MS. HARRIS: Now if we could turn to: 16 17 "During furlough sessions in the San Francisco facility, the accused must remain within sight" --18 "Must also remain." 19 THE COURT: 2.0 MS. HARRIS: (As read) 21 "... must also remain in site of Attorney 22 Babcock, except when the accused is left with Allen 23 Lew in Pretrial Services for the sole purpose of 24 allowing counsel to pick up lunch." 25 Then if we could turn to the next page, and the second

full paragraph that starts "Defendant." 2 "Defendant may not leave the federal building and 3 may only go from the lock-up facility to the 4 Attorneys' Lounge and back, plus rest facilities." 5 And then let's go to the sentence that says, "In 6 San Francisco." And if we could highlight that? 7 (Document highlighted.) "In San Francisco defendant may only be in the 8 9 lock-up facility, the Attorneys' Lounge on the 18th floor, and the elevator in between. During furlough 10 sessions, defendant may only meet with and speak to 11 12 Attorney Babcock, Attorney James Stevens, a paralegal 13 or investigator working at Mr. Babcock and Mr. Stevens' direction, a representative of the 14 15 Marshal and a representative of Pretrial Services." 16 Then if we could highlight the next sentence? 17 (Document highlighted.) "On furlough, defendant may not possess or use 18 any cellular phones, smartphones, computers, laptops, 19 2.0 or computer tablets. Defendant may not use or 2.1 possess any device that can access the internet, 22 send/receive telephone calls, and/or send/receive 23 text messages. Defendant shall not engage in or 24 attempt to engage in any financial transactions

either directly or indirectly through third parties

```
1
         (including but not limited to his wife, other family
        members or civil or criminal attorneys)."
 2
 3
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor --
 4
              MS. HARRIS: And then let's --
 5
             MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor --
 6
              THE COURT: Yes.
 7
              MR. BRUGNARA: Before we get to Page 3, I need -- I
 8
   need to approach.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. We'll have a short bar.
         (Proceedings held at sidebar.)
10
              THE COURT: What's the issue?
11
12
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yes, your Honor. I just want to have
13
    these -- she's talking about tantrums and shouting, and
14
    tantrums and shouting, outbursts and tantrums.
15
              THE COURT: Are you going to read the tantrum thing?
16
             MS. HARRIS: I'm not going to read it.
17
              MR. BRUGNARA: They are reading it on their screens.
18
             MS. HARRIS: It's a certified record. That is the
   order he violated.
19
2.0
             MR. BRUGNARA: That's prejudicial. And it's -- it's
21
    subjective and gratuitous.
22
              THE COURT: Here is what I want you to do. I want
23
   you to redact from the version that goes to the jury room the
24
    sentence about the tantrums.
25
             MR. BRUGNARA: And, also, off the computer because
```

she's publishing it to the jury. 2 THE COURT: Just a minute. 3 And if you can show it on the screen without showing --4 without showing the "tantrum" part, then do so. But if you 5 can't do it without -- if it would show up on the screen, then 6 just read it out loud. Don't show the jury the "tantrum" part. 7 MR. BRUGNARA: It's in two places. THE COURT: All right. That's fine. 8 I will make 9 that accommodation to Mr. Brugnara. MR. BRUGNARA: All right. 10 THE COURT: All right? You -- can you live with 11 12 that? I think you can do that. 13 MS. HARRIS: Yes. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 (Proceedings held in open court.) 16 MS. HARRIS: I'm now reading from Page 3 of the 17 furlough order. "When one lawyer uses the restroom, the accused 18 must remain in the conference room with the other 19 2.0 lawyer, or both the accused and counsel must use the 2.1 facility at the same time. 22 "When defendant is present, no phone may be used. 23 Defense counsel shall remain from having any cell 24 phones within reach of the defendant." 25 Then skipping to the next paragraph:

"When the meeting is over, Attorney Babcock shall escort defendant back to the Marshals lock-up facility no later than 3:00 p.m., where defendant will be immediately remanded back into the custody of the United States Marshal, searched, returned to jail clothing and transported back to the Glenn Dyer jail."

Then the next paragraph reading:

2.0

"The period of furlough can be from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. on any business day by reservation the previous business day. If defendant violates any of these rules or attempts to leave the courthouse, his lawyer, as an officer of the court, shall notify the Marshals immediately. Defendant's lawyer shall promptly report any potential violation of these conditions, whether material or not, to the Marshals office."

And then reading the last paragraph:

"Before defendant can take advantage of this procedure, he and his counsel must sign and date a copy of this order stating that they have read it, understood it -- understand it and will obey it. Then the Court will, after approving the signed subscriptions, issue an order activating this furlough procedure. It is so ordered December 23rd,

```
1
         2014."
 2
         Your Honor, the United States moves now to admit
 3
    Government's Exhibit 137, which is a certified copy of Docket
 4
    262, the signed acknowledgment of "Order Re Furloughs."
 5
              THE COURT: All right. What's the exhibit number?
 6
              MS. HARRIS: 137.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Received in evidence.
         (Trial Exhibit 137 received in evidence.)
 8
 9
              MS. HARRIS: I would ask that we publish it?
              THE COURT: All right. Publish it, and then I need
10
11
    to give an admonition to the jury.
        Go ahead. Publish that.
12
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, that's pursuant to what
   you stated on a previous exhibit, right, at the sidebar?
14
15
              THE COURT: We will -- yes, that still continues.
16
        All right.
17
         (Document displayed)
18
              THE COURT: So is that now -- can you read that in
19
    the jury box?
2.0
         (Jury panel nodding affirmatively.)
2.1
              THE COURT: All right. The -- only one signature
22
    showing up on the screen.
23
         (Document displayed.)
24
              THE COURT: All right. I need to say this to the
25
           As you have gathered, probably from prior proceedings in
    jury.
```

this case, and as this now makes very clear, the defendant was remanded prior to trial to the custody of the Marshals for 2 3 reasons that we don't need to get into and about which you 4 should not in any way speculate. 5 Those -- the fact -- the fact that the defendant was 6 remanded for pretrial custody is not evidence that you may use 7 against him in determining whether or not he is guilty of the 8 charges. 9 Now, the fact that he was in custody is relevant to only one issue in the case, which is, the count that deals with the 10 alleged escape from the building and the violation of the Court 11 order. 12 13 So that's the only way in which you can consider the fact of detention. It would be unfair for anyone to hold against 14 Mr. Brugnara on the other counts the fact that he was in 15 16 custody. So please keep that in mind. 17 All right. Next. Please, continue. 18 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, the Government now moves to admit Government's Exhibit 138, which is a certified copy of 19 the Court's "Order Re Activation of Furlough Procedures." 2.0 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MS. HARRIS: And Mr. Kingsley is going to read the 23 relevant portions from 138. 24 THE COURT: Received in evidence.

```
1
         (Trial Exhibit 138 received in evidence.)
 2
              THE COURT: Go ahead. Read the part that you think
 3
    is relevant.
 4
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                             (As read)
 5
             "The Court has received signed copies of the
 6
         Court's furlough procedures from attorneys Erik
 7
         Babcock, James Stevens and defendant Luke Brugnara.
         This order now activates the furlough procedures set
 8
 9
         forth in the Court's order dated December 23rd, 2014,
         Docket No. 257. The Marshals are hereby authorized
10
         to furlough Mr. Brugnara on the terms of the Court's
11
         December 23rd order."
12
13
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, the Government now moves to
    admit Government's Exhibit 139, which is Docket No. 303, and
14
15
    it's the Court's "Order Re Trial Date."
16
              THE COURT: All right. Just hold it up and let me
17
    see.
18
         (Document was shown to the Court.)
19
              THE COURT: Correct. I remember.
2.0
         Thank you. Received in evidence.
         (Trial Exhibit 139 received in evidence.)
2.1
22
              MS. HARRIS: May we publish it to the jury --
23
              THE COURT:
                         Yes, yes.
24
              MS. HARRIS: -- and read portions?
25
         (Document displayed)
```

```
1
              MS. HARRIS: The order is dated January 13, 2015, and
 2
    the relevant portion is:
             "The start of trial is hereby moved to 7:30 a.m.
 3
 4
         on February 26, 2015 and will continue on Friday,
 5
         February 27th and thereafter, except that trial will
 6
         not be held on Friday March 6th, 2015."
 7
         And that is ordered on January 13th, 2015.
              THE COURT: All right. Next. Next exhibit.
 8
 9
         (Brief pause.)
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, the United States calls Mark
10
11
   Kokc.
12
              THE COURT: All right. Deputy, please raise your
13
   right hand.
14
                              MARK KOLC,
15
   called as a witness for the Government herein, having been
    first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
16
17
              THE WITNESS:
                            Do.
18
              THE COURT: Please have a seat, and adjust the
19
   microphone so that it catches your voice. It will move
2.0
   backwards, the whole thing -- no, no. The mic will move
21
   backwards, not you.
22
                 Speak into it and say your name.
23
              THE WITNESS: Mark Kolc.
24
              THE COURT: Everybody hear okay?
25
         (Jury panel nodding affirmatively.)
```

1		THE COURT: Go ahead.
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY THE COURT	
4	Q	Can you spell your last name for the record?
5	A	Yes. It's spelled K-O-L-C.
6	Q	Good morning, Agent Kolc. Where do you work?
7	A	I work for the United States Marshal Service in Northern
8	Cali	fornia.
9	Q	Which location?
10	A	At 450 Golden Gate in San Francisco.
11	Q	Is that also known as the San Francisco Federal Building?
12	A	That's correct.
13	Q	What do you do at the San Francisco Federal Building?
14	A	I'm the judicial security inspector for the Marshal
15	Service in this District. My duties encompass courthouse	
16	security.	
17	Q	How long have you worked for the United States Marshal
18	Service?	
19	A	I have been employed by them for ten years.
20	Q	Does the Federal Building at 450 Golden Gate Avenue have a
21	video surveillance system?	
22	A	Yes, it does.
23	Q	Do your responsibilities include overseeing portions of
24	that	system?
25	A	Yes, they do.

- 1 Q What floors of the building are you responsible for 2 overseeing the video system?
- 3 A I'm responsible for overseeing the Court floors, which 4 encompass Floors 15 through 20.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$  What is the purpose of the video surveillance system?
- 6 A The purpose of the video surveillance system is to provide 7 accountability and security monitoring for the court.
- 8 Q How does that system work?
- 9 A The system employs a number of cameras which record to a number of DVRs, which are located in our control room on the
- 12 **Q** Where are the cameras located?
- 13 **A** The cameras are located throughout the court floors.
- 14 **Q** I was just going to ask you to talk a little slower, so I can follow what's going on.
- 16 A My apologies.

20th floor.

- 17 Q Do the video cameras record events at or near the time
- 18 | they occur?

- 19 **A** Yes, they do.
- 20 **Q** How is the video stored?
- 21 **A** The video is stored electronically on digital video
- 22 | recorders.
- 23 **Q** Are the videos then kept by the United States Marshal
- 24 | Service in the ordinary course of business?
- 25  $\mathbf{A}$  Yes, they are.

1 Is there an Attorneys' Lounge at the Federal Building at 2 450 Golden Gate Avenue? 3 Α Yes, there is. 4 Where is that located? 5 It's located on the 18th floor of the building. 6 Are there video cameras that record activity near the 7 elevators on the 18th floor of this building? 8 Yes, there are. 9 Is there a camera directed at the hallway heading to the Attorneys' Lounge? 10 11 Yes, there is. Is there a camera directed at the 18th floor elevator in 12 the Federal Building? 13 14 Yes, there is. 15 I would like you now to -- I'd like to ask you some questions about what's been marked as Government's Exhibit 141. 16 17 MS. HARRIS: And only bring that up for the witness, 18 your Honor, and the Court. 19 (Video displayed to the witness and the Court.) BY MS. HARRIS 2.0 21 Do you recognize the video that is Government's Exhibit 141? 22 23 Yes, I do. What is it a video of? 24

This is a video of the hallway on the 18th floor leading

25

Α

```
towards the Pretrial Office and the Attorneys' Lounge.
 2
         And was this video taken on February 5, 2015?
 3
   Α
         Yes, it was.
 4
         How do you know that?
 5
         It includes a time and date stamp from when it was
 6
   recorded.
 7
         Have you reviewed Exhibit 141 before today?
         Yes, I have.
 8
 9
         Does Exhibit 141 fairly and accurately reflect the events
    at the time recorded?
10
11
         Yes, it does.
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Government's
12
    Exhibit 141 into evidence, and I'd ask to play the video for
13
    the jury.
14
15
              THE COURT: Any objection?
16
         (No response.)
17
              THE COURT: Hearing none, I will allow it in
    evidence.
18
19
         (Trial Exhibit 141 received in evidence.)
2.0
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
2.1
         (Videotape played in open court.)
22
              THE COURT: Is it --
23
   BY MS. HARRIS
24
         Can you tell us what we're looking at as we watch
25
    Exhibit 141 together?
```

```
1
         Certainly.
   Α
 2
              THE COURT: Can you see it in the jury box?
 3
         (Jury panel nodding affirmatively.)
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. I can't tell.
 5
         All right. Go ahead. Play the video.
 6
         (Videotape played in open court.)
 7
   BY MS. HARRIS
         Can you describe for the jury what we're looking at and as
 8
   we go along through the video, please explain to us what is
   being depicted?
10
11
         Certainly.
         At this point it appears to be two individuals coming
12
    towards the camera from the Attorney Lounge.
13
14
         Do you recognize any of the people that we just see in the
15
   video right now?
16
               I recognize the individuals as Defendant Brugnara
17
   and Attorney Erik Babcock.
         Okay. All right.
18
              MS. HARRIS: Can we continue playing the video?
19
2.0
         (Videotape played in open court.)
21
         And it appears they went into the men's room.
   BY MS. HARRIS
22
23
         When you say "it appears they went into the men's room,"
24
   is the right turn that we just saw on the video the area of the
   men's restroom on the 18th floor?
25
```

1 That is correct. 2 Can you tell is what we're looking at now? 3 It appears that Defendant Brugnara and Attorney Babcock 4 have left the restroom. There is another individual walking 5 towards the camera, who I do not recognize. Let me ask you -- if we could stop the video for a 6 7 minute -- if you can tell us in the video which one is Mr. Babcock and which one is Mr. Brugnara? 8 9 (Videotape paused.) Attorney Babcock is the individual wearing the sport coat. 10 11 Defendant Brugnara has a T-shirt and slacks. MS. HARRIS: If we could continue playing the video 12 13 now? (Videotaped played in open court.) 14 15 BY MS. HARRIS 16 Where are there he going now on the 18th floor? 17 It appears they are moving away from the camera. It looks 18 to be that they may be moving towards the Pretrial Office, 19 which is also located in that area. 2.0 Was Mr. Brugnara being followed by Mr. Babcock? 2.1 Yes, he was. 22 Okay. What's happening in the video now? 23 Now it appears that Mr. Brugnara and Mr. Babcock left the

area there and are heading again towards the camera.

Is Mr. Brugnara the first person we see?

24

25

Q

1 Yes, that is correct. Α 2 What direction are they headed now? 3 It appears they have turned the corner heading towards the 4 elevator bank on the 18th floor. 5 I would now like to ask you about Government's 6 Exhibit 141. 7 THE COURT: I thought that was 141. MS. HARRIS: 8 I'm sorry, your Honor. 9 And if we could bring up Exhibit 142 just for the witness? (Videotape displayed to the witness and the Court.) 10 11 BY MS. HARRIS 12 Do you see Exhibit 142 there? 13 Yes, I do. Α Do you recognize the video that's Exhibit 142? 14 15 This is a video of the elevator bank on the 18th 16 floor. 17 Was this video recorded on February 5, 2015? 18 Yes, it was. 19 How do you know n? 2.0 This video also has a time and date stamp from the time that it was recorded. 2.1 22 And have you reviewed Exhibit 142 before today? 23 Yes, I have. 24 Does Exhibit 142 fairly and accurately reflect the events

at or near the time recorded?

```
1
         Yes, it does.
 2
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Government's
 3
    Exhibit 142 into evidence.
 4
              THE COURT: Received.
 5
         (Trial Exhibit 142 received in evidence.)
   BY MS. HARRIS
 6
 7
         If we could now play the video of Exhibit 142 for the
    jury? And can you remind us what this is a videotape of?
 8
 9
         This is a video of the elevator bank on the 18th floor of
    the building.
10
11
         And, again, is the 18th floor where the Attorneys' Lounge
12
    is located?
13
         That is correct.
14
         Okay.
    Q
15
              MS. HARRIS: Let's play Government's Exhibit 142, if
16
   we could?
17
         (Videotape played in open court.)
   BY MS. HARRIS
18
19
         What are you seeing now?
2.0
         It appears that --
21
         Can we stop --
22
         (Videotape paused.)
23
         It appears --
24
              MR. BRUGNARA: Objection, your Honor. He's saying
25
    "it appears." You know, it's self-explanatory what the video
```

```
shows.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, no editorial comment, but you
 3
   may -- you may state what -- for the record, what is being
 4
    shown, but no editorial comment.
 5
         Go ahead.
 6
         (Videotape played in open court.)
 7
   BY MS. HARRIS
         What is being shown on the video that we're watching right
 8
   now, Exhibit 142?
         The video shows Mr. Brugnara and Mr. Babcock entering the
10
    elevator bank and Mr. Brugnara pushing one of the elevator
11
12
   buttons.
              THE COURT: Not the elevator bank. The lobby where
13
    the elevators are.
14
15
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
16
              THE COURT: All right.
   BY MS. HARRIS
17
18
         Did you see which button Mr. Brugnara pushed on the
19
   elevator?
2.0
         The video shows him pushing the down button.
2.1
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I object. Unless he has
22
    Superman vision, I don't -- I don't -- I don't see how anyone
23
    can see what button was pushed.
24
              THE COURT: Well, just replay it and the jury can see
   for itself what button is being pushed.
25
```

```
1
              MS. HARRIS:
                           Can we replay the video and show the
   portion of pushing the elevator button?
 2
 3
   BY MS. HARRIS
 4
         And I would ask if the Deputy Marshal recognizes which
 5
   button is being pushed?
 6
         (Videotape replayed in open court.)
 7
         It looks to me like he is pushing the "Down" button.
   BY MS. HARRIS
 8
 9
         Okay. Where is the United States Marshal Service located
    in this building?
10
11
         The Marshals office is located on the 20th floor of the
12
   building.
13
         And is that two floors up from the 18th floor?
         That is correct.
14
15
         Mr. Brugnara, from what you see, has pushed the "Down"
16
   button, is that correct?
17
         Correct.
   Α
18
    Q
         Okay.
19
              MS. HARRIS: Let's continue the video.
2.0
         (Videotape played in open court.)
   BY MS. HARRIS
2.1
22
         What are we seeing now?
    Q
         An elevator has arrived, indicating it's going down.
23
24
         How do you know it's going down?
         The elevators in this building have indicator lights.
25
    Α
                                                                  The
```

- red lights indicate that the elevator is going down. A green light or white light indicates it's going up.

  Can we stop right where we're and can you point to the
- 4 jury where you are seeing the red light indicating that
- 5 | Mr. Brugnara is get into a down elevator?
- 6 A That would be located above the elevator door itself.
- 7 Q For the record, is this the area you're referring to 8 (indicating)?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q And that shows a red down elevator button?
- 11 **A** Yes. That's what it looks like to me.
- 12 MS. HARRIS: If we could continue playing the video?

  13 (Videotape played in open court.)
- 14 BY MS. HARRIS
- 15 **Q** What happens now?
- 16 **A** Mr. Brugnara enters the elevator and the elevator departs.
- 17 **Q** Who is standing in the video now?
- 18  $\|\mathbf{A}\|$  Mr. Babcock remains in the elevator lobby.
- 19 **Q** And who is Mr. Babcock?
- 20 **A** He is Mr. Brugnara's attorney.
- 21 | Q Do you know the person who is coming into the view of the
- 22 | video now?
- 23 **A** No. That person I don't know.
- 24 **Q** Okay. Is -- what's happening now?
- 25 | A Another individual entered the elevator lobby, entered an

```
elevator. Mr. Babcock has remained in the elevator lobby.
 2
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, could they reverse that
 3
   and -- it appeared that Mr. Babcock was pressing the same
 4
   button.
 5
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I would object to Mr.
 6
   Brugnara's speaking objections. He will have an opportunity to
 7
   play the video.
              THE COURT: All right. So the objection is
 8
 9
   overruled.
         (Videotape played in open court.)
10
   BY MS. HARRIS
11
12
         Now, what are we looking at?
13
         Another elevator has arrived. It appears to be heading in
    the down direction. It opens and closes.
14
15
         Now, Mr. Babcock, what happens with Mr. Babcock?
         Mr. Babcock enters another elevator that has arrived.
16
17
         Okay. Can you tell which direction that elevator is
18
   going?
19
         Not from this distance, no.
2.0
         (Discussion held off the record between government
2.1
          counsel.)
22
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, the Government has no
23
    further questions.
24
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. BRUGNARA: A short one.
25
```

## 1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. HARRIS 3 Sir, your name is Mr. Kolc? 4 That's correct. 5 Mr. Kolc, so let me just ask you a few questions. 6 You're in charge of the video monitoring systems. Are you 7 just a Marshal that handles all the different duties? I oversee the camera monitoring systems for the court. 8 9 Okay. And that court would also mean for the parties that are under the, hmm, care and custody of the court? 10 11 I'm not sure what you mean. 12 Well, the people that are held in custody? 13 Yes, correct. Okay. So if I'm under the care and custody of this court, 14 15 you would be responsible for me when I'm under your care and 16 custody? 17 I assume so. 18 So, I mean, care and custody, what I mean specifically is 19 to be fed, to be housed --2.0 MS. HARRIS: Objection, your Honor. This exceeds the 21 scope of the direct. 22 MR. BRUGNARA: It doesn't exceed the scope. He's in 23 charge of the video cameras and he's with the U.S. Marshals. 24 THE COURT: You getting into --25 MR. BRUGNARA: I'm very much getting into some

```
material --
 2
              THE COURT: You're getting into what happened at
 3
   Glenn Dyer.
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: Everyone likes to rush me when I
 5
   always want to make my point. I make my point over a period of
 6
    time.
 7
              THE COURT: Well --
              MR. BRUGNARA: My point -- okay. Same thing. I can
 8
 9
   never cross examine anybody. Do I get a thirty-second warning
10
   yet? Okay? Listen.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
11
        Are you -- you said the word "accountability." I wrote
12
   down three words here: "Responsible" and "accountability."
13
   That's the U.S. Marshals. "Responsible" and "accountability."
14
15
   So you're accountable and you're responsible, correct?
16
        Yes, sir.
17
        And if I'm in your custody, which means I'm chained up
18
   like a zoo animal --
19
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
2.0
21
         -- I certainly can't tend to myself --
22
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor --
23
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
24
         -- are you responsible for me?
25
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor.
```

```
1
         Sir, I don't handle prisoners in the scope of my duties.
 2
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor. Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Wait.
 4
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
 5
        Under the capacity of the video monitoring system --
 6
              THE COURT: It's beyond the scope.
 7
              MR. BRUGNARA: It's not beyond the scope.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
 8
 9
        You just said you had video cameras for a purpose. Is the
   video camera --
10
              THE COURT: He's talking about the video cameras in
11
12
   the building.
13
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
        What purpose -- no one has ever escaped from this
14
15
   building. So what's the purpose of the video camera?
16
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
17
18
         Is the purpose for violence? I'm confused. What is --
19
    it's for many things, right? It's for --
2.0
              THE COURT: All right. All right.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
2.1
22
         -- if there is physical altercation --
23
              THE COURT: You may ask the question: What is the
24
   purpose of the video system in this building?
25
              THE WITNESS:
                            The purpose of the video systems in
```

this building is to monitor the activity that occurs on a daily basis. 2 3 BY MR. BRUGNARA 4 And that would include possible violence, possible 5 whatever, right? 6 It would include anything that occurs. 7 Correct. And the other point that I tried to make is, if I am 8 9 unable to care for myself because whoever determined in whatever powers it be that they want you to be responsible for 10 my safety and my well-being under my care and custody, you, in 11 fact -- your exact words are you're responsible and you're 12 13 accountable, right? MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I object to that question --14 15 Can you repeat the question? I don't understand. BY MR. BRUGNARA 16 17 You're responsible and accountable to the extent of what 18 your duties are monitoring cameras, correct? 19 Correct. 2.0 Okay. Now, does the Marshal's jurisdiction exceed this 21 building? The United States Marshals, does their jurisdiction 22 exceed this actual building?

Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR and Belle Ball, CSR, CRR
Official Reporters - U.S. District Court - San Francisco, California
(415) 431-1477

Okay. So when you're outside of this building --

obviously, you can't put cameras in every corner, so you can

23

24

25

Yes, it does.

```
only do what's reasonably necessary to perform your duties for
   accountability and reasonableness, correct?
 2
 3
        Correct.
 4
        Okay. So, but when we go into another federal facility
 5
   from this federal facility, there certainly should be the same
 6
    level of precautionary -- don't you think there should be a
 7
   standard --
 8
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, objection.
 9
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
         -- a consistent standard --
10
              MS. HARRIS: The defendant is making a speech.
11
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
12
13
         -- as to your capacity -- in your capacity as a --
14
              THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.
15
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor --
16
              THE COURT: It's a speech. This is a speech.
17
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
18
        It's a question.
                  In your capacity as an expert for the U.S.
19
2.0
   Marshals in videos and surveillance, would it be prudent to
21
   have a consistent standard for each federal facility so you
22
    can, in fact, perform your duties effectively?
23
              MS. HARRIS: Objection, your Honor. The witness is
24
   not being asked for expert testimony, and this exceeds the
25
    scope of the direct and is not relevant.
```

1 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BRUGNARA 2 3 Okay. Let me ask you this then. Are you aware of whether 4 or not the federal facility that the U.S. Marshals house their 5 pretrial innocent-until-proven-guilty or 6 innocent-until-acquitted-and-found-not-quilty defendants, do 7 you know where they house them? MS. HARRIS: Objection, your Honor. It exceeds the 8 9 scope. 10 THE COURT: Sustained, sustained. He did not testify on direct examination about pretrial custody facilities. 11 BY MR. BRUGNARA 12 13 Okay. Who is responsible for the custody or the care of a pretrial innocent defendant? 14 15 MS. HARRIS: Objection, your Honor. Mr. Brugnara has inserted all sorts of propaganda into his question. 16 17 MR. BRUGNARA: Propaganda? This isn't Nazi Germany. This is a United States institution --18 19 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor. Your Honor, could you 2.0 stop Mister --2.1 THE COURT: All right. This --22 MR. BRUGNARA: You dress like a Nazi. 23 THE COURT: All right. This is -- this witness came 24 here to testify --MR. BRUGNARA: No. 25 This is all --

```
1
              THE COURT: -- about the videos.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
 2
 3
        Okay. Are you aware -- are you aware -- are you aware --
 4
   are you aware that where the Marshals house their innocent
 5
   pretrial defendants, they have no video system at all and
 6
   no cameras?
 7
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, objection.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
 8
 9
        Are you aware of that --
              MS. HARRIS: Assumes facts not in evidence.
10
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
11
        -- video personnel for the United States Marshals?
12
13
   mean, would that interest you to -- are you aware of that?
14
              THE COURT: All right. The jury -- I can't say it
   every time; I just say it occasionally. But I have told you
15
16
   repeatedly, the things that Mr. Brugnara says or the attorney
17
    says is not evidence. Even if it's preceded by "Would it
18
    surprise you to know..."
19
              MR. BRUGNARA: Okay.
              THE COURT: Or whatever. It is not evidence unless
2.0
21
    the witness says it.
22
             MR. BRUGNARA: Okay.
23
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
24
        Do you --
25
              THE COURT: Now, wait. Wait. All these are improper
```

```
questions. It's way beyond the scope of the direct
   examination, and we're not going to get into it. So move to a
 2
 3
   proper subject.
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well, his specialty in the Marshal's
 5
   office is videos and surveillance.
 6
              THE COURT: He came here to testify about one thing.
 7
   His videos. In this building.
             MR. BRUGNARA: And I have one issue with videos and
 8
 9
   surveillance.
              THE COURT: That has to do with the jailhouse.
10
             MR. BRUGNARA: No, because the Marshals don't have me
11
   in their care and custody. The Alameda Sheriffs, the Marshals
12
13
   do.
14
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I would object.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
15
16
        Who testified in this court a few weeks ago with Alameda
17
   County --
18
             MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor.
19
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
2.0
        Who runs the videos there?
2.1
              THE COURT: All right, just a second. I'm going to
22
   allow one thing, but I'll allow the question, even though it is
23
   beyond the scope of the direct examination.
24
        Deputy -- it is Deputy, right?
25
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right. Deputy, do you know of your
    own personal knowledge the way in which, if at all, there is a
 2
 3
   video monitoring system at the Glenn Dyer jail?
 4
              THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. That's the end of that
 6
   subject.
 7
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
         Okay. Do you -- do you feel a responsibility as a
 8
   Marshal, if you're assigning responsibility to someone else --
10
              THE COURT: This is improper.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
11
12
         -- to make sure --
13
              THE COURT: This is improper.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
14
15
         -- a standard that upholds your standard as a United
16
   States Marshal?
17
              THE COURT: This is improper.
18
              MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor.
19
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             That's not --
2.0
              THE COURT: That is not a proper subject for this
21
   witness.
22
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
23
         Okay. If one of your detainees, pretrial detainees who
24
   are innocent until proven otherwise gets killed at Glenn Dyer,
25
   do you have a system in the United States Marshals, as an
```

```
expert in the surveillance, to go back and check what happened
 2
    to the party that you are responsible for?
 3
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I object to this question,
 4
   as well.
 5
              THE COURT: This is improper. He knows nothing about
 6
    the video system over there. And, you cannot ask him these
 7
   questions. I'm asking you to stop and move to a proper
   subject.
 8
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
10
        Okay. Do you have any venue in the United States Marshal,
    in your capacity, videos and surveillance, to make suggestions
11
    or to give opinion, opine to your superiors regarding
12
13
   procedures?
             MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor. That was
14
   completely convoluted, and irrelevant.
15
             MR. BRUGNARA: If you listen, it's not convoluted.
16
17
   People seem to understand what I say for 23 years in business.
18
    I think it's very clear.
19
              THE COURT: The question is incomprehensible, and
2.0
   will be disregarded.
21
        All right, I'll give you one more question; then you're
22
   done. One more question.
        All right, you're --
23
24
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
25
        No, my question, in short, is: There is no video camera
```

```
-- you know, then, that there is no video cameras in a jail
 2
   full of murderers. You know that, don't you?
 3
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I again object to the
   question; move to strike.
 4
 5
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
 6
        Where you're putting innocent pretrial detainees who are
 7
   getting assaulted and abused, because you don't want a record
   of it. That's the truth. We're in the 21st century.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: The jury will disregard --
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
10
11
        Every place has video cameras. Do you agree --
12
              THE COURT: Mr Brugnara, you have to stop.
13
         (Simultaneous speakers)
14
              THE COURT: You've got to stop. I'm ordering to you
15
   stop. And have a seat.
16
        The jury will disregard everything that Mr. Brugnara said
17
    in those speeches.
18
        Do you have any redirect?
19
              MS. HARRIS: No, Your Honor.
2.0
              THE COURT: All right. You can step down. You are
21
    free to go.
22
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
23
         (Witness excused)
24
              THE COURT: Next witness.
25
             MS. HARRIS:
                           Thank you, your Honor. The United
```

States calls Harold Pritchett. 2 HAROLD PRITCHETT, 3 called as a witness for the Government herein, having been 4 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 6 THE CLERK: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Okay, have a seat. Welcome. You see how my mic phone moves all around? Yours does 8 9 that. And it needs to be about this close to your voice in order to catch it. 10 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Why don't you say your name. 13 THE WITNESS: Harold Pritchett. 14 THE COURT: You've got to get a little closer, I'm 15 sorry, move it a little closer. THE WITNESS: Harold Pritchett. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, please. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. HARRIS: 2.0 Good morning, Mr. Pritchett. Where do you work? 2.1 I work on the first floor of 450 Golden Gate, in the 22 control center. 23 Is that the building we're in right now? 24 Yes, it is. 25 What do you do there?

- 1 | A I -- part of my responsibility is to monitor all the
- 2 security cameras that are around the building.
- 3 | Q How long have you worked for 450 Golden Gate?
- 4 **A** Since 2006.
- 5 Q Does the federal building at 450 Golden Gate have a video
- 6 surveillance system that has cameras activated on the ground
- 7 | floor of the building?
- 8 A Yes, it does.
- 9 Q Do your responsibilities include overseeing portions of
- 10 | the video cameras?
- 11 **A** Yes.
- 12 Q What floors are you responsible for the video
- 13 || surveillance?
- 14 A The first floor. And I think that there's one camera on
- 15 the second floor that we monitor.
- 16  $\|\mathbf{Q}\|$  Is the first floor also known as the lobby of the federal
- 17 | building?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19  $||\mathbf{Q}||$  Okay. What is the purpose of the video surveillance
- 20 cameras in the lobby of the federal building?
- 21 | A It's part of a security camera measure to videotape and
- 22 record people coming in and out of the building on a 24-hour
- 23 | basis.
- 24 **Q** How does the system work?
- 25 | A It records 24 hours a day. And the data is maintained on

- the hard drive of the DVRs for approximately 30 to 45 days. 2 And are the videotapes that you are referring to kept by 3 the Federal Protective Service in its ordinary course of 4 business? 5 Α Yes. 6 And are there video cameras that record activity on the 7 lobby near the entry level? Yes. 8 9 And is there a camera directed at the entryway heading out of the federal building? 10 11 Yes. Α Are there cameras directed at the perimeter of 450 Golden 12 13 Gate Avenue? 14 Yes. 15 I would like to now ask you some questions about 16 Government Exhibit 143. 17 MS. HARRIS: And I would ask if we can just bring it up for the witness and the Court. 18 19 (Witness examines video) BY MS. HARRIS: 2.0 2.1 Do you recognize this video that is Government Exhibit 143? 22 23 Α Yes, I do.
  - Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR and Belle Ball, CSR, CRR Official Reporters - U.S. District Court - San Francisco, California (415) 431-1477

This is a camera angle of the lobby entrance from Turk

What, what is Exhibit 143?

24

25

Α

```
Street.
 2
         Is Exhibit 143 -- was it recorded on February 5, 2015?
 3
   Α
         Yes, it was is.
 4
         How do you know that?
 5
         Because in the upper left-hand corner there is a date and
 6
    timestamp on the reporting.
 7
         Have you reviewed Exhibit 143 before today?
 8
   Α
         Yes.
 9
         Does Exhibit 143 fairly and accurately reflect the events
   at or near the time the video recorded?
10
11
         Yes, it does.
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Government Exhibit
12
13
    143 into evidence.
              THE COURT: Received.
14
15
         (Trial Exhibit 143 received in evidence)
16
              MS. HARRIS: May we publish Exhibit 143 to the jury?
17
              THE COURT: Yes, you may.
18
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
   BY MS. HARRIS:
19
2.0
         Can you tell us, Mr. Pritchett, what we are looking at now
    in Exhibit 143?
2.1
22
         Basically we're looking at the lobby checkpoint where the
23
   Court Security Officers inspect personnel and personal items
24
    entering from the Turk Street --
25
              MS. HARRIS:
                           Okay. Can we stop the video?
```

```
1
              THE WITNESS: -- side of the building.
   BY MS. HARRIS:
 2
 3
         Do you see -- and I'm directing your attention, do you see
 4
   an individual wearing tan pants and a blue shirt now in the
 5
   frame that we see on Government Exhibit 143?
 6
         Yes, I do.
 7
         And, is that in the left-hand corner, just past the desk
   in the middle of the frame?
 8
 9
         Yes, it is.
         Is this the person you are referring to right here
10
11
    (indicating)?
12
         Uh-huh. Yes.
                        Yes.
13
         Okay. And can you describe for the record, what the
14
   person -- it's a male -- is it a male person you see?
15
         Yes, it is.
16
         And can you describe what he's wearing in the videotape?
17
         It looks like he is wearing tan pants and a blue polo
18
   shirt.
                           If we could continue playing the video.
19
              MS. HARRIS:
2.0
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
21
              MS. HARRIS: Okay, stop. All right.
   BY MS. HARRIS:
22
23
         Now, we have a look at the individual as he is walking.
24
   What direction is the individual in the tan pants and the blue
25
    shirt walking?
```

- 1 It appears that he's walking north. Towards the exit. 2 When you say "Towards the exit," what side of the building 3 is this on? 4 The Turk Street side of the building. 5 Okay. 6 MS. HARRIS: Can we keep playing the video? 7 (Portion of videotape played in open court) BY MS. HARRIS: 8 9 Now, you mentioned that you also are in charge of videotape that records what occurs on the perimeter of the 10 11 federal building. I would like to now bring up Exhibit 144A for you only to 12 13 the review. And I would ask you if you recognize the video in Government Exhibit 144A. 14 15 (Witness examines video) I do recognize it. 16 17 What is the video that is Government Exhibit 144A? This, this is an easterly-direction view of Turk Street, 18 19 going towards -- facing towards Larkin. 2.0 And was this video recorded on February 5th, 2015? 21 Yes, it was. Α 22 And have you reviewed Government Exhibit 144A before 23 today? Yes, I have. 24
  - Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR and Belle Ball, CSR, CRR Official Reporters - U.S. District Court - San Francisco, California (415) 431-1477

Does Government's Exhibit 144A fairly and accurately

25

Q

```
reflect the events of the perimeter of the federal building at
 2
   or near the time recorded?
 3
        Yes, it does.
 4
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Government Exhibit
 5
    144A into evidence.
 6
              THE COURT: That's received.
 7
         (Trial Exhibit 144A received in evidence)
              THE COURT: But, I have question. In the lower
 8
 9
   right-hand side, what is that thing sticking out? What is
    that? The roof? What is that?
10
              THE WITNESS: Are we talking about the large
11
   structure that's jutting out of the building?
12
13
              THE COURT: Correct.
              THE WITNESS: That's -- that was built a number of
14
15
   years ago to cover the air vents, after the events of 9-11.
16
   Because I believe they were -- they use that as a
    countermeasure to avoid people being able to put --
17
              THE COURT: It's a little unclear, but you've cleared
18
19
   it up now.
2.0
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2.1
              THE COURT: So that's -- that's something that blocks
   part of the view of the sidewalk. Correct?
22
23
              THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.
24
              THE COURT: All right.
25
              THE WITNESS:
                            Uh-huh.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
   BY MS. HARRIS:
 2
 3
         For the record, Mr. Pritchett, is the area that the Court
 4
   asked you about this area that I'm circling in red right now
 5
    (indicating)? Is that what was placed on the ground after
 6
    9-11?
 7
         I believe it was, yes.
         All right. Now?
 8
 9
              MS. HARRIS: Now if we could play Government Exhibit
   144A.
10
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
11
12
              MS. HARRIS: Okay. Can we stop Exhibit 144A right
13
   now.
   BY MS. HARRIS:
14
15
         I'm going to ask you if you see the outline of an
    individual where I circled (indicating).
16
17
         Yes, I do.
18
         And can you describe what appears in the circle, and where
    that is relative to the federal building?
19
2.0
         It appears to be a male subject with tan pants and a polo
21
    shirt, walking easterly on Turk Street.
22
              MS. HARRIS: Can we continue with the video?
23
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
24
   BY MS. HARRIS:
25
         And then do you see --
```

```
1
             MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I object.
                                                    This is
   extremely confusing. I'm looking at the -- the federal
 2
 3
   building is facing in an east-west direction. So he's saying
 4
    this person here is heading down Turk Street down towards
 5
   Market?
 6
              THE COURT: You can cross-examine on that. But if
 7
   you want to clarify now -- Mr. Pritchett, right?
              THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Why don't you go ahead and answer that
10
   question now.
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Maybe I can better explain it.
11
   This camera angle is positioned at the corner of Turk and Polk.
12
   But it is facing in an eastbound direction down Turk Street.
13
   So that's --
14
15
              THE COURT: Toward downtown?
16
              THE WITNESS: Yes, down toward Market, down toward
    the Tenderloin area.
17
18
              THE COURT: Is this a time-lapse or is it -- how fast
19
   is the --
2.0
              THE WITNESS: This is a time-lapse.
2.1
              THE COURT: So it's not like the other video.
22
                  Is that correct?
   goes slower.
23
              THE WITNESS: Well, actually, they run at the same
24
    speeds.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. Looks a little jerky to me.
```

1 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 2 THE COURT: Why is that? 3 THE WITNESS: I believe it's because of the way the 4 film was recorded, it was recorded in AVI, instead of native. 5 If you record something in native it gives you a more higher, 6 clearer definition. But AVI will give you more pixillation and 7 more jerky movements when you do a playback. THE COURT: All right. So why don't you play it 8 9 again. 10 MS. HARRIS: Can we play Exhibit 144A again in its 11 entirety? 12 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 13 MS. HARRIS: Can you stop it right here? BY MS. HARRIS: 14 15 Again, what direction is the individual, the male subject 16 in tan pants and a polo shirt, what direction is he headed now 17 as we see in the video? He appears to be headed in an eastbound direction. 18 19 Is eastbound toward Larkin Street? 2.0 That is correct, yes. 21 Q Okay. 22 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 23 I would like now ask you some questions about Government's 24 Exhibit 144B, and ask you take a look at Exhibit 144B before we 25 publish -- before I ask you some questions about it.

```
1
         Okay.
   Α
 2
         Do you recognize the video that is Government Exhibit
 3
    144B?
 4
         (Witness examines video)
 5
   Α
         Yes, I do.
         What is it a video of?
 6
 7
         It's a video from the westbound perspective. A camera is
    located above the intersection of Turk and Larkin Streets, and
 8
 9
    it's facing in a westerly direction towards Van Ness Avenue.
         Okay. And, was Exhibit 144B a video recorded on
10
11
    February 5th, 2015?
12
         Yes, it was.
13
         How do you know that?
         Because I -- the timestamp in the upper left-hand corner.
14
15
         Have you reviewed Exhibiting 144B before today?
16
         Yes, I have.
17
         And does Exhibit 144B fairly and accurately reflect the
18
    events at or near the time recorded on February 5th, 2015?
19
         Yes, it does.
2.0
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Government Exhibit
    144B into evidence.
2.1
22
              THE COURT: Received.
         (Trial Exhibit 144B received in evidence)
23
24
              MS. HARRIS: Now if we could play for the jury the
25
    videotape that is Government Exhibit 144B.
```

## BY MS. HARRIS: 2 I would then ask you to describe for the jury what we're 3 looking at. 4 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 5 Okay. Coming into view from the upper left corner we see 6 a male subject with tan pants, and possibly a blue polo. You can't -- it's hard to distinguish the shirt. But it appears to 7 be the same individual that departed via --8 9 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I object. self-explanatory, the video. 10 THE COURT: All right. It's editorial comment. 11 MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, this is a little --12 13 **THE COURT:** The witness should not be saying -- the jury will disregard that it appears to be the same individual. 14 But the jury can decide for itself. 15 16 But go ahead, continue with your comments. 17 THE WITNESS: It appears to be a male subject walking 18 eastbound on Turk Street. BY MS. HARRIS: 19 2.0 And what does the male individual appear to be wearing? 21 Tan pants and a short-sleeved polo type shirt. 22 MS. HARRIS: Now if we could continue the video. 23 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 24 BY MS. HARRIS: 25 Do you see the pace now, and is the individual right here

```
(indicating)?
        Yes.
 2
 3
        What pace is the individual moving at to --
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: Object, Your Honor. It's -- opine.
 5
   We can all see, and --
 6
              THE COURT: Okay --
 7
   BY MS. HARRIS:
        Can you describe what we're seeing in the video?
 8
 9
             MR. BRUGNARA: Just said "defendant walking,"
   your Honor. She's leading the witness now.
10
11
              THE COURT: No.
              MR. BRUGNARA: She's leading the witness now.
12
                                                             He --
13
   he just said -- go back in the transcript. It says "defendant
14
   walking down the street."
15
        And she goes, "Well what pace is he going at?" Leading
   the witness.
16
17
              THE COURT: Can you, from your experience, in looking
18
   at these types of videos, can you tell what pace somebody's
19
   going at?
2.0
              THE WITNESS: Um, yes. I can make a fair assessment.
2.1
              THE COURT: All right. What is your assessment of
22
   how fast or slow that individual was going?
23
              MR. BRUGNARA: Objection. He already answered that
24
   question, your Honor, when he said he's walking down the
25
    street. Pull up the transcript and confirm it. Now you're
```

```
leading the witness.
 2
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, he's discussing --
 3
              THE COURT: I'm not leading the witness.
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: He already answered the question.
 5
              THE COURT: I'm asking you if you can say how fast or
 6
   slow that individual was going, if you can, from your
 7
    experience. But I don't want you to give some kind of guess at
 8
    it.
 9
              MR. BRUGNARA: Fast or slow, he's walking, because he
10
   already said he's walking. So, that's how fast is he walking,
11
   how slow is he walking.
12
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike all of
   Mr. Brugnara's remarks.
13
             MR. BRUGNARA: I move to strike the leading comments.
14
   Because he answered the question, she didn't like the answer,
15
16
    so she's going to lead him now.
17
             MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, we're at a different stage
18
    in the videotape than we were --
19
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, the jury has their own
2.0
          They can see and make their own determination, I think.
2.1
22
              THE COURT: Can you answer the question without
23
   guessing at it?
24
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I can.
25
              THE COURT: Please go ahead and give us your answer.
```

```
1
              THE WITNESS:
                            It appears that the individual in the
   frame is walking at a slightly accelerated pace. And, he
 2
 3
   appears at the time, in this frame, to be crossing the street.
 4
              THE COURT: All right.
 5
              THE WITNESS: Or stepping off the curb.
 6
              THE COURT: Next question.
 7
              MS. HARRIS: Okay. If we could continue playing the
   video?
8
 9
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
             MS. HARRIS: Okay. Can you go back to that frame?
10
   Can you go back just a little?
11
12
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
   BY MS. HARRIS:
13
        Okay. Did you see him step off the curb in the frame we
14
   just played? We'll play it for you again.
15
16
        Can I see it one more time, please?
17
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
18
        Well, it's hard to determine if he's stepping off the curb
19
   or stepping into a vehicle. It's hard to distinguish which, at
2.0
   that time -- this time.
21
              MS. HARRIS: No further questions, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT:
                         Any cross-examination?
23
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yes. Could we pull up the first
24
   video, the lobby?
25
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
                                 144A.
```

1 MR. BRUGNARA: Please. 2 CROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. BRUGNARA: 4 Hello. 5 Α Hi. 6 So I just want to make a little question, a correction 7 question. You stated in your testimony that these gentlemen here 8 9 (indicating) were security. In fact, they're the United States Marshal, aren't they? 10 11 No, they're not U.S. Marshals. They're Court Security 12 Officers, to be exact. 13 MR. BRUGNARA: So, can I point and have the jury see 14 what I'm pointing at? 15 THE COURT: Well, it's going to be hard to do that 16 unless you know how to use the thing. But which -- there are 17 only two individuals there, so --18 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay, well, can you advance the film, 19 please? 2.0 THE CLERK: You can touch the video screen and it will mark it. 2.1 22 MR. BRUGNARA: Could you advance the film, please? 23 Could you advance the film, please? Keep advancing it. 24 advancing, please. 25 (Portion of videotape played in open court)

```
1
             MR. BRUGNARA: Keep advancing, please. Keep
 2
   advancing. Okay. All right.
 3
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: Stop.
                                    Okay.
 5
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
 6
         So there's three gentlemen here on the video screen that
 7
   are -- they're the ones that are watching the door?
 8
   it?
 9
         They are working that position, yes.
10
        Okay. So, do you know any of these three men?
11
        Not personally.
12
        And you are telling me that they are not United States
13
   Marshals.
         I don't know them personally, but I work that position
14
15
   downstairs, Monday through Friday. It's my permanent post, and
    it has been since 2006. And the gentlemen that work those
16
   positions are Court Security Officers.
17
18
        Court Security. Okay.
              MR. BRUGNARA: Go ahead and advance the tape, please.
19
2.0
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
21
             MR. BRUGNARA: Okay, I'll tell you when to stop.
22
    Stop, and then stop again. Keep going, and stop after one sec.
23
    Stop. Okay, hold it right there.
24
         (Requests complied with by Ms. Oki)
25
```

```
BY MR. BRUGNARA:
 2
                So this is when purportedly I, me, am walking past
         Okay.
 3
    the three security guys (indicating). Okay?
 4
         Uh-huh.
 5
         This guy in the middle here (indicating) drove me from the
 6
    jail, as a U.S. Marshal, to --
 7
              MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Brugnara is
   assuming facts not in evidence.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: You can't be testifying like this.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
10
11
         Is the man in the middle a United States Marshal?
         I can't make that assumption.
12
13
         You already testified that he is not. He is a Court
   Security Officer.
14
15
         He appears to have the uniform on of a Court Security
   Officer.
16
17
         So if I told you --
18
              MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Sustained.
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
2.0
21
         Okay, so if I --
22
              THE COURT: Don't do that. I'm ordering you not to
23
   do that.
24
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             Okay.
25
```

## BY MR. BRUGNARA: 2 Is the United States Marshal that's looking at me --3 MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: There's no United States Marshal in that 5 screen. 6 MR. BRUGNARA: Oh, oh, really? Really? 7 THE COURT: The witnesses said they're all three Court Security Officers. 8 9 MR. BRUGNARA: And I'm helping the man out. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 10 Is the United States Marshal in the center there that's --11 12 MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates his testimony. 13 14 MR. BRUGNARA: Excuse me. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 15 16 Is the man in middle looking -- turning his head toward 17 me, looking at me? Yes or no? 18 I can't fairly make that assessment because his face is 19 partially obscured by the -- by the magnetometer. 2.0 Okay. But you can see the head. Is the head facing towards the door? 2.1 22 It's turned in a general direction, sir, but I can't make 23 the assessment that he is looking at you. 24 Okay, right. Now, how much space is between me and that 25 wall right there, in your opinion?

- 1 Which wall, sir? 2 The wall that is on the other side of this separator here 3 (indicating). From there to the wall, how much space would you 4 say there is? 5 The wall on the opposite side from you? 6 Q Yes. 7 Α Hmm, I would say approximately 25, 30 feet. 8 Q Okay. 9 Roughly. Maybe. 10 That's fair enough, whether it's 15, 20 feet or 30 feet. 11 You said 25 to 30? 12 Approximately. 13 Okay. Now, and you are in charge of security or security 14 cameras, right? The monitoring? 15 Yes. 16 Okay. Now, and you also are somewhat of an expert on, we 17 now learn, how fast somebody's walking and pacing. Correct? At least on your... 18 19 Now, based on the gait --2.0 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, objection. 21 argumentative. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 22 Based on the gait in this photo, does that look like a man 23
  - Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR and Belle Ball, CSR, CRR Official Reporters - U.S. District Court - San Francisco, California (415) 431-1477

in this photo who's trying to run past a security guard or a

24

25

United States Marshal?

1 THE COURT: You can answer the question by saying how -- whether or not he -- whether he is walking fast or slow. 2 3 MR. BRUGNARA: Based upon this picture. 4 THE COURT: Based upon the picture, just like you did 5 on the other one. MR. BRUGNARA: And I would like to get a screenshot 6 7 of this, your Honor. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. It looks like he's walking at a 9 normal gait at this point. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 10 Okay. I think it would even be a slow gait, if you can 11 12 see that the gait between --13 **THE COURT:** You can't testify. You can't do that. 14 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. Okay. 15 BY MR. BRUGNARA: 16 Let me ask you this: Do you get trained for reacting if 17 you see something that's unusual in your job? Or is it mainly 18 just for gathering information? 19 Both. 2.0 (Mr. Stevens exits the courtroom) 21 Okay. So, what are you trained to do if you believe 22 there's something happening that shouldn't be happening? 23 Report it to -- to my superiors. 24 And, is your responsibility to monitor these cameras, or 25 just to make recordings of them? Of the images?

1 THE COURT: You mean monitor them, realtime? 2 MR. BRUGNARA: Yes, I asked --3 BY MR. BRUGNARA: 4 So your responsibility is to monitor them in realtime. 5 Α Both, yes. 6 And you've been doing this for a long time, right? 7 Α Yes. So if you saw somebody that was acting erratic or unusual 8 9 or, like, running through a lobby, would that trigger a warning, based on your experience? 10 11 Absolutely. So in this particular photograph here of this -- and this 12 13 is again the exact moment where the three security marshals are standing, that doesn't post any red flags for you in your 14 15 capacity, monitoring in real time. Correct? 16 I would have to say no. 17 And, wouldn't you say also, as being an expert, that if 18 somebody was trying to evade capture, they certainly wouldn't 19 be walking in that 25-foot gap period from the wall to the 2.0 separator there, literally almost touching the separator where, 2.1 in fact, the three quards are? 22 They would probably --23 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I object to this. THE COURT: Sustained. 24 25

## BY MR. BRUGNARA: 2 As monitoring in realtime --3 MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor. 4 BY MR. BRUGNARA: 5 As monitoring -- as monitoring in realtime, looking for 6 unusual activities --7 THE COURT: This is improper. It's improper. MR. BRUGNARA: No --8 9 THE COURT: It's an argument. MR. BRUGNARA: It's a security issue. And he's 10 11 responsible for the security of this building. 12 THE COURT: It's an argument. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 13 Let me ask you this: In your experience of what you're 14 trained to look for, unusual activities, whether it be violence 15 16 or people escaping or what have you, in your experience, using 17 common sense in your position, if somebody's trying to evade 18 another individual, would they take the closest proximity to 19 that individual, if they're trying to evade them? 2.0 Or would they take, if they have 25 feet, which you've 21 said would be about right here (indicating), would they be 22 hugging the wall, trying to evade? Which would they be? 23 MS. HARRIS: Objection, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25 MS. HARRIS: This is completely improper.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                         Sustained. That is argument.
 2
              MR. BRUGNARA: Okay, okay. Your Honor, I have no
 3
    further questions.
              THE COURT:
 4
                         Thank you.
 5
        Anything further?
 6
              MS. HARRIS: No, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you, sir.
 8
   You may -- you are free to go.
 9
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
         (Witness excused)
10
              MS. HARRIS: May we take a brief recess?
11
              THE COURT: I didn't hear you.
12
13
              MS. HARRIS: May we take a brief recess to --
                         All right. We will take a 15-minute
14
              THE COURT:
    recess. Please remember the admonition.
15
              THE CLERK: All rise.
16
17
         (Jury excused)
18
         (The following proceedings were held outside of the
19
         presence of the Jury)
2.0
              THE COURT: All right.
2.1
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor --
22
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, may I address the Court?
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I request to contact Erik
23
24
    -- the Marshals contact Erik Babcock, because he was supposed
25
    to be a witness today, and we were told not to subpoena him.
```

And now we got blindsided today after James Stevens -- of course I've been unavailable because of the logistics of going 2 3 back and forth. 4 But, James Stevens had set up the witness list based upon 5 the representations made by the United States Attorney that 6 Erik Babcock would be testifying. And I spent the few hours 7 that I had in the wee hours of the morning preparing for Mr. Babcock's testimony today, and I just found out ten minutes 8 ago, Erik Babcock is now being taken often their witness list. So what Mr. Stevens suggested was that -- and he said 10 Babcock won't come in unless he's -- it's by subpoena. 11 request that this Court use the United States 17(a) subpoena, 12 13 and allow that to be used to force him in here today. Otherwise, we have to issue another 17(a) subpoena. 14 15 It's for the same -- the same matter under the existing 16 issued subpoena, to discuss this situation. 17 THE COURT: Just a moment. It's a legitimate point to raise, and I'll come to that in a minute. 18 19 Ms. Harris, what did you want to say? 2.0 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, Mr. Brugnara's behavior in 21 front of the jury was outrageous. He made a personal attack on 22 my clothing in front of the jury, and said I was dressed like I 23 was from Nazi Germany. This behavior is accelerating, not 24 getting any better. 25 And I would ask the Court to again find him in summary

```
contempt, and this time impose a much stronger sentence, so
 2
    that maybe the next time that Mr. Brugnara makes gratuitous
 3
    insults at Government counsel, he will think twice about it.
 4
   Apparently 21 days is not doing the trick.
 5
             MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor --
 6
              MS. HARRIS: And now he's interviewing -- he's
 7
    interrupting me.
              MR. BRUGNARA: She started it.
 8
 9
             MS. HARRIS: He's interrupted the Court.
10
             MR. BRUGNARA: She started it, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Stop, Mr. Brugnara.
12
         (Mr. Stevens re-enters the courtroom)
              MS. HARRIS: He has been absolutely worse than ever,
13
    other than the one day where he terrorized the entire
14
15
    courthouse by screaming at the top of his lungs.
16
              MR. BRUGNARA: I object to what she's saying.
17
             MS. HARRIS: And now he's interrupting again,
18
   your Honor.
19
                             I object.
              MR. BRUGNARA:
2.0
              THE COURT: I'm going to ask the court reporter to
21
    find during the break the part where the Nazi comment was made.
22
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, your Honor, she made a comment
23
   and she started, she said, "There he goes with his propaganda."
24
         "Propaganda" is a word used in wartime, covert destructive
25
    activities.
                 It has no venue in this Court, using the word
```

"propaganda." I'm highly insulted. She started -- I want her to be found in contempt, I want her to be found in summary 2 3 contempt, and be sanctioned. 4 And that's is the third time she's used the word 5 "propaganda," if you go back and check the transcript. 6 didn't say anything the first two times. I try to let things 7 roll off me, just like being transferred back and forth. But she started using the word "propaganda." 8 9 **THE COURT:** But, it's true. I mean, what you -- you have been violating all of the rules of the courtroom by laying 10 before the jury your arguments, your things that you're not 11 12 supposed to do until closing argument. 13 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I'm not an attorney. 14 Listen. I begged this Court for an attorney. 15 THE COURT: See, you're interrupting me. 16 MR. BRUGNARA: I begged this Court for an attorney. 17 I am not an attorney. I am not a good attorney. I'm not --18 THE COURT: This is such -- so false. I hope the 19 Court of Appeals reads this. This is so false. This is so 2.0 dis- -- disrespectful. 2.1 It was propaganda. All you were doing was laying your 22 material before the jury in the guise of questions which were 23 completely improper. And you knew they were improper. 24 MR. BRUGNARA: Absolutely not. 25 THE COURT: And then whenever she called it

```
"propaganda," which is exactly what it was, you turned and made
   some nasty comment that I didn't catch fully. And I'm going to
 2
 3
   read it during the break.
                               So --
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well --
 5
              THE COURT: I'm not going to -- go ahead, tell me
 6
   what else you want to say.
 7
              MR. BRUGNARA: I confer with the two esteemed
   associate counsels that you have given to advise me, and I'm
 8
 9
    trying to structure the questions in a way that --
              THE COURT: No, you don't. I do not believe for a
10
   moment that they told you to do that.
11
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well --
12
              THE COURT: I don't believe it for a moment. All
13
14
    right, I want -- I'm not going to rule on this now.
15
        How close to resting are you?
              MS. HARRIS: We have, I believe, one more -- a few
16
17
   more witnesses. We have a couple more --
         (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel)
18
19
              THE COURT: Can you have Mr. Babcock come so that he
    can be called in the other side's case?
2.0
             MS. HARRIS: In the defendant's case-in-chief?
2.1
22
    can attempt to contact him, but we will not be calling him in
    the Government's case.
23
24
              THE COURT: All right. Are you going to be resting
25
   before the end of the day?
```

1 I anticipate we will. MS. HARRIS: 2 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, Mr. Babcock said he's not 3 coming unless he's subpoenaed. 4 Apparently that's what he told --5 THE COURT: No, no, the Government can ask him to 6 And once he's here, I'll order him to stay so that you 7 can call him first thing. MR. BRUGNARA: All right. 8 9 That's the way we are going to deal with THE COURT: it, so we don't waste money on a subpoena. 10 MS. HARRIS: So Mr. Brugnara will be calling 11 Mr. Babcock as his first witness? 12 MR. BRUGNARA: Yes. 13 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 MS. HARRIS: Okay. 16 THE COURT: All right, so we're going to take a 15-minute break, ourselves. 17 18 And will the court reporter please bring me that take. (Recess taken from 9:45 to 10:01 a.m.) 19 2.0 (The following proceedings were held outside of the 2.1 presence of the Jury) 22 All right, please come to order. THE CLERK: 23 THE COURT: All right. Back to work. I don't have 24 yet the reporter's transcript from the proceedings earlier 25 today. And we're going to just have to push forward. I don't

```
have time to wait for it.
 2
         So, are we ready to go with our next witness?
 3
              MR. KINGSLEY: We are. Ms. Harris just stepped out
 4
   briefly, but we can with begin with the next witness.
 5
   get him.
 6
              THE COURT: Are you ready, Ms. Harris?
 7
              MS. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. We are going to bring the
 8
 9
    jury in and continue.
         (The following proceedings were held in the presence
10
11
          of the Jury)
              THE CLERK: All rise.
12
13
                         Welcome back, please be seated. And we
              THE COURT:
14
   will now go to the next witness.
15
              MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor, the United States calls
16
   DeJuan Tartt.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Please raise your right hand;
18
    take an oath to tell the truth.
19
                             DEJUAN TARTT,
2.0
    called as a witness for the Government herein, having been
21
    first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
22
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
23
              THE COURT: All right, thank you. See how my
24
   microphone moves? Yours does too. It's got to get this close
25
    to your voice.
```

```
1
              THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
 2
                           Say your name, please.
              THE COURT:
 3
              THE WITNESS:
                            DeJuan Tartt.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          That is very good. Thank you.
 5
         Go ahead, Counsel.
 6
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
 7
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
 8
         Who do you work for, Mr. Tartt?
 9
         Community Housing Partnership.
   Α
10
         And what is Community Housing Partnership?
    Q
11
    Α
         It's a property management company.
12
         What is your job at Community Housing Partnership?
13
         I'm a property manager.
14
         And does Community Housing Partnership manage various
15
    buildings around San Francisco?
16
         Yes, they do.
   Α
17
         About how many?
18
         About 13.
         And where are you located, specifically?
19
         Here in San Francisco.
2.0
         What's the address?
21
22
         650 Eddy Street.
23
         And is that one of the buildings that Community Housing
24
    Partnership manages?
25
    Α
         Yes, it is.
```

1 What is the name of that building? 2 The Arnett Watson Apartments. Α 3 THE COURT: Move a little closer to the mic. THE WITNESS: Arnett Watson Apartments. 4 THE COURT: 5 Thank you. 6 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 7 So you said that's 650 Eddy Street. What is the cross street for that building? 8 9 Polk. In between Larkin. And is there a part of the building that abuts Willow 10 11 Street? Yes, that's the rear of our building. 12 13 Okay. And how long have you worked for Community Housing 14 Partnership? 15 About three years. Does Community Housing Partnership maintain a video 16 17 surveillance system at the Arnett Watson Apartments? 18 Α Yes. 19 And are you familiar with that system? 2.0 Yes, I am. Α 21 Does the system include a camera that faces Willow Street? 22 It includes two cameras. 23 (Mr. Stevens exits the courtroom) 24 And when the cameras record video, how is it stored?

On the system hard drive.

25

Α

1 And, is it possible for you to access the video system to pull videos from a specific camera at a specific point in time? 2 3 Α Yes. 4 And what is the purpose of the surveillance system? 5 To basically keep the tenants safe in the building. 6 So, are those videos that are made as part of the 7 surveillance system kept in the ordinary course of business by Community Housing Partnership? 8 9 I'm sorry; could you repeat that? Are they kept ordinarily by Community Housing Partnership 10 11 just as part of your business? 12 Yes. 13 And, the videos that are made from these cameras, do they 14 depict events recorded by the cameras at or near the time the 15 events occur? 16 Yes. 17 Okay. I would like to ask you about a particular video 18 now. 19 Ms. Oki, could we please play -- but MR. KINGSLEY: 2.0 just for the witness -- what's previously been marked as Government Exhibit 145. 2.1 22 (Witness examines video) 23 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 24 Do you recognize this video?

25

Α

Yes, I do.

1 What is it? 2 It's a video that I had recorded. 3 0 And which camera took this video? 4 Α It was the Willow Street camera. 5 (Mr. Stevens re-enters the courtroom) 6 Q One of the two cameras on Willow Street? 7 Α One of the two cameras, yes. And did you retrieve this video from the Community Housing 8 9 Partnership's surveillance system? 10 Yes, I did. Α 11 Do you remember what made you retrieve it from the 12 surveillance system? 13 A couple of agents came in, requested the footage. Yeah. 14 Do you remember approximately when the video was Okay. 15 recorded? 16 I know it was like around in the afternoon, like, 17 February, February 6th. 18 Q Okay. 19 MR. KINGSLEY: The Government moves to admit Exhibit 2.0 145 and publish it to the jury. 2.1 MR. BRUGNARA: Objection. 22 THE COURT: What? 23 MR. BRUGNARA: Object. Hearsay. It's not --24 February 6 video? Okay, well, object on that, object on 25 hearsay, object on hasn't been verified.

```
1
              THE COURT: Is that the correct date, February 6th?
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
 2
 3
        When did you -- do you remember --
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: He already testified, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. I'm asking -- your objection
 6
    is noted. Is that the -- I don't remember. Is that the date?
 7
              MR. KINGSLEY: The date isn't February 6th.
                                                            If I can
 8
   ask a followup question?
 9
              THE COURT: Ask your followup questions.
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
10
11
         Is it your memory that you pulled the video on February
   6th, 2015?
12
13
         I know it was February. I know it was raining.
14
        Okay.
   Q
15
              THE COURT: All right. The day you pulled it, it was
16
   raining? Or the day of the video?
17
              THE WITNESS: No, the day that I pulled it, it was
18
   raining.
19
              THE COURT: All right.
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
2.0
21
        And do you remember whether it was a Friday?
22
         I'm not sure of the exact date, yeah.
23
              MR. KINGSLEY: I think that the video is
24
    self-explanatory, and authenticates itself.
25
              THE COURT: Let me ask some questions. Does this
```

```
video system of yours have a time-and-date-stamp system?
 2
              THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.
 3
              THE COURT:
                         Is that going to be on here?
 4
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                             It's not on the video, itself.
 5
             MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. I object, Your Honor. I object
 6
   your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Just a minute.
 8
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             I object.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Can you tell us approximately
    the time frame when the video, itself, was taken as opposed to
10
11
    when you retrieved it?
              THE WITNESS: Um, well, what I could do is I still
12
13
   have the video, still in my computer. I can look at the time
           It says the time that it was recorded and everything on
14
    on it.
15
    the actual file, on the video file.
16
              THE COURT: Do you have that now?
17
              THE WITNESS: I don't have it, no, I don't.
18
              THE COURT:
                         What do you want to do, Counsel?
19
              MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor, I think the video, itself,
2.0
    and what it depicts authenticates the time of it, based on what
21
22
              THE COURT: Well, the witness would have to say the
23
    approximate time frame.
24
         Can you tell us the approximate time frame --
25
             MR. BRUGNARA: He already answered, your Honor.
                                                               He
```

answered this, your Honor --2 THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara, I'm asking the witness some 3 questions. 4 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, you're leading and correcting 5 his answers. He already said February 6th, which is not 6 February 5th or not February 1st. Now you're trying to lead 7 him to correct his answer. And that's not fair to me. THE COURT: Can you tell us the approximate date that 8 9 the video, itself, was taken, as opposed to the day it was retrieved? 10 11 THE WITNESS: I want to say -- I know it was in 12 February, for sure. Early February. Like the 5th or 6th, it 13 was one of those days. THE COURT: All right. Now, I'm going to let the --14 I am going to receive it in evidence. And the reason I'm going 15 to receive it in evidence is because of self -- what is known 16 as self-authentication. 17 18 And, the jury will take into account the uncertainty by the witness as to the exact date that this was recorded. 19 2.0 there's enough evidence to get it into -- there's enough 21 foundation to get it into evidence. 22 So, the objection is overruled. 145 is received. 23 (Trial Exhibit 145 received in evidence) 24 MR. KINGSLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 25 Ms. Oki, could we publish the video to the jury and play

```
it for them.
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
 2
 3
         And Mr. Tartt if you could watch on your screen, I'm going
 4
    to ask you a question about it after it's played.
 5
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
 6
         So, the video appears to depict an individual running down
 7
    the street. Can you tell from the video in which direction
    that person is running?
 8
 9
         Yeah, he's running towards Polk.
10
         And from where is he coming?
11
         Looks like he's coming from Larkin.
12
         And, which -- and this is on -- this is a camera you said
    that was on Willow Street, correct?
13
14
         Yes.
   Α
15
         So is this video of Willow Street?
16
         Yes, it is.
         (Portion of videotape replayed in open court)
17
18
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, it's unnecessary to keep
19
   doing a replay on it, is it? Or is that part --
2.0
              THE COURT: Objection overruled. Please continue.
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
2.1
         Which side of the street is he on?
22
23
         He's on the side of the street that their building is on.
24
         And how far is the San Francisco federal building from
25
    where the individual in that video is running?
```

```
1
         Let's see. That would be Eddy Street, yeah, about two
 2
   blocks.
 3
    Q
         Okay.
 4
              MR. KINGSLEY: No further questions, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
 6
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
 7
   BY MR. BRUGNARA:
         Mr. Tartt, so I just have one question for you.
 8
    there a bus that runs in that same direction?
10
         Which direction?
   Α
11
         On Polk Street.
12
         Yes, there is.
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: All right, thank you.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Anything more?
15
              MR. KINGSLEY: Nothing, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
17
    you.
18
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
19
         (Witness excused)
2.0
              THE COURT: Next witness.
                             The United States calls Ian Hiebert.
21
              MR. KINGSLEY:
22
                             IAN HIEBERT,
23
    called as a witness for the Government herein, having been
24
    first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
25
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
```

1 THE CLERK: Okay, thank you. 2 All right. Be seated. Go ahead. THE COURT: 3 You need to speak into the microphone, and pull it closer 4 to you. 5 THE WITNESS: Is that it? Okav. 6 THE COURT: Say your name. 7 THE WITNESS: My name is Ian Hiebert. 8 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. 9 Go ahead. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 12 Could you spell your last name for the record, please. 13 Yes, H-I-E-B-E-R-T. Α 14 Thank you. Where do you work, Mr. Hiebert? 15 British Motor Cars. And what is British Motor Cars? 16 We are a high-line luxury automobile dealership here in 17 San Francisco. 18 19 Where is that establishment located? 2.0 901 Van Ness Avenue, at the corner of Van Ness and Ellis. 2.1 If you could slow down just a little bit, okay? 22 that problem also. 23 So you said the corner of Van Ness and Ellis? 24 Correct. 25 And is it just a dealership, or does have it other

So --

components to it?

It is also the corporate office. We have several

dealerships around the Bay area.

- 4 Q And is there a parts department that is part of the
- 5 dealership?

- 6 A Correct. Yeah.
- 7  $\mathbf{Q}$  And what is your job at British Motor Cars?
- 8 A I'm in charge of IT and I also help with the video and
- 9 | alarm systems there.
- 10 **Q** How long have you worked at British Motor Cars?
- 11 **A** Twenty-two years.
- 12 Q Does British Motor Cars have a surveillance system?
- 13 **A** Yes.
- 14 Q And do your responsibilities extend to that surveillance
- 15 || system?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 | Q What does that system entail?
- 18  $\|\mathbf{A}\|$  It's a Watchdog DVR system, which is a digital video
- 19 | recorder. There are no tapes. It records on a continuous
- 20 | loop. Holds about a month and a half worth of video recordings
- 21 | on a two-terabyte hard drive. Has 16 cameras throughout the
- 22 | building.
- 23 Q So you said information is recorded for about a month on a
- 24 | hard drive. Are you able to access the video system and pull
- 25 | specific videos to save it for a longer period of time?

- $1 \, \| \mathbf{A} \quad \text{Yes.}$
- 2 Q And what's the purpose of this video system with the 16
- 3 | cameras?
- 4 | A Just for anti-theft, security, safety.
- 5 Q And, does British Motor Cars keep these videos for the
- 6 period of time that it does in the ordinary course of its
- 7 | business?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And, did the videos that are made as part of this system
- 10 reflect events that occurred at or near the time in which the
- 11 | videos depicted that they occurred?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 | Q All right. I would like to ask you about some particular
- 14 | videos now.
- 15 MR. KINGSLEY: Ms. Oki, could you please play Exhibit
- 16 | 146, just for the witness, please.
- 17 BY MR. KINGSLEY:
- 18  $\|\mathbf{Q}\|$  Mr. Hiebert, if you could take a look at it and let me
- 19 know if you recognize the video.
- 20 | (Witness examines video)
- 21 | A Yes, that's the internal camera of our parts department.
- 22 | Q Did you retrieve this video from the British Motor Cars
- 23 || surveillance system?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 | Q And, do you know when it was recorded?

```
1
         February 5th. In the afternoon. Around 2:00 or 3:00.
 2
         And does the video system record a timestamp on the
 3
   videos?
 4
         (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel and FBI
 5
          agent)
 6
         Just want to clarify, are you looking at Exhibit 146 or
 7
    147?
         I'm looking at the parts department interior.
 8
 9
         (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel and
         Ms. Oki)
10
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
11
         All right. I apologize. I thought you were looking at a
12
   different video. Let's pull up Exhibit 146 and go through that
13
   again. Take a look at it.
14
15
         This is currently the one we're looking at now.
16
              THE COURT: The witness has no way to know what the
17
   numbers are.
              MR. KINGSLEY: I understand that.
18
19
              THE COURT: Well, then, whatever is on the screen,
2.0
    what does your assistant say the number is?
         (Off-the-Record discussion)
2.1
22
              MR. KINGSLEY: This is 146.
23
              THE COURT: All right. So we will start over with
24
    this one.
25
              THE WITNESS:
                            This is the outside of the parts
```

```
department, covering the driveway and the entrance to the parts
 2
   department.
 3
              THE COURT: What street is your place on?
 4
              THE WITNESS: The address is on van they say
   necessary. This driveway comes off of the side street on Ellis
 5
 6
   Street.
 7
              THE COURT: All right.
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
 8
 9
         And so when was this video recorded?
         On February 5th. And again, I'm trying to recall the
10
11
    timestamp, but I remember it was 1400, 1430, in that
   neighborhood.
12
13
         And did you pull this video out of the British Motor Cars
   surveillance system?
14
15
         Yes, I did.
16
              MR. KINGSLEY: The Government moves to admit Exhibit
17
    146 and publish it to the jury.
              THE COURT: Received in evidence.
18
         (Trial Exhibit 146 received in evidence)
19
2.0
              THE COURT: You may publish it.
21
              MR. KINGSLEY: Can we just pause at 30 seconds,
22
   please.
23
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
24
25
         So what are we looking at in this video right now?
```

1 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, completeness of the record, he just showed the first 30 seconds with what appeared 2 3 to be walking in --4 THE COURT: Let's go back and do it from the start. 5 Play it through all the way through. 6 MR. KINGSLEY: Can I pause it, and have him explain 7 what is on the video first, please? THE COURT: How long is it? Thirty seconds? 8 9 (Off-the-Record discussion between counsel and FBI 10 agent) There's -- most of the video doesn't 11 MR. KINGSLEY: 12 actually have anything in it. I have specific timestamps that 13 I want to show the witness where something happens. And that's 14 what I was trying --15 THE COURT: Well, fast-forward to --MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, completeness of the 16 17 record, they are -- the person walking in is in a different 18 circumstance than the end of the video. THE COURT: Well, we don't need to see blank images. 19 2.0 MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, but the part in the beginning is 21 the part where the walking in --22 MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor, I intend to show --23 THE COURT: Do it your way, do it your way, Counsel. 24 And then if I think it's misleading, we will go back and fill 25 in. All right, do it your way.

```
1
             MR. KINGSLEY: All right. Can we go back to the
 2
    30-second timestamp please, when something first happens in
 3
    this video, and --
 4
              THE COURT: You are making -- you're doing what
 5
   Mr. Brugnara does. You're making a comment.
 6
         The jury will disregard that editorial document.
 7
        Just go back to wherever it is that you want to start.
 8
              MR. KINGSLEY: Can we go back to 30 seconds, please,
 9
    and press "Play."
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
10
11
              MR. KINGSLEY: Can we pause it, please.
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
12
13
         So, it looks like there's sunlight coming into this video
   here. Where, where would that person who just walked in have
14
15
   been coming from, based on the location of --
         That would have been Ellis Street.
16
17
              MR. BRUGNARA: Objection, Your Honor. Speculation.
18
              THE COURT: Can you -- do you know the location well
19
    enough to be able to answer that question?
2.0
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Please answer.
22
              THE WITNESS: That is the driveway to our customer
23
   parking and to the parts department that comes right off of
   Ellis Street.
24
25
```

## BY MR. KINGSLEY: 2 And how far from Van Ness is that, the entrance to the 3 parking lot there? 4 About half a block. Maybe 100 feet or so. 5 Okay. And how far is the San Francisco -- San Francisco 6 federal building, where we are today, from that entrance? 7 Probably three or four blocks. I'm not real familiar. Ι didn't count, but I know it's a little bit of a walk. 8 9 Okay. All right. MR. KINGSLEY: Let's continue playing, please. 10 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 11 12 MR. KINGSLEY: All right, please pause it. BY MR. KINGSLEY: 13 It appears that the individual in the video left the 14 What is to the left of what's depicted in this video? 15 16 It's just a wall with the doors to the entrance to the 17 parts department, the customer entrance. 18 Okay. Thank you. MR. KINGSLEY: And can we fast-forward this to about 19 2.0 the five-minute mark, please. 21 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 22 MR. KINGSLEY: That's enough, thank you. 23 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 24 Based on watching that video, where did the person in the 25 video come from?

1 That same customer entrance to the parts department. 2 And where were they going when they exited the frame? 3 Looks like out the driveway onto Ellis Street. 4 Okay. Thank you. All right. 5 MR. KINGSLEY: If we can now turn to Government 6 Exhibit 147, and again just show it the only the witness, 7 please. And Ms. Oki, if you could play the first ten seconds of 8 9 that video to Mr. Hiebert. 10 (Witness examines video) 11 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 12 And let me know if you recognize the video. 13 It's our interior of the parts department. Yes. 14 customer area. 15 And did you retrieve this video from the British Motor 16 Cars surveillance system? 17 Yes, I did. Α When was it recorded? 18 19 February 5th. About the same time as the other video. 2.0 Approximately -- what did you say before? 21 It was somewhere in the neighborhood of 14- to 1500 hours. 22 MR. KINGSLEY: The Government moves to admit Exhibit 23 147 into evidence. 24 THE COURT: Hearing no objection, received. (Trial Exhibit 147 received in evidence) 25

1 MR. KINGSLEY: And if we could publish to the jury, and play it from the beginning. 2 3 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 4 MR. KINGSLEY: Could we pause right now, just so the 5 witness can explain. 6 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 7 What are we looking at in the video? So, this camera shows what we call the customer retail 8 9 There are other back areas, obviously, that are not area. But this is the only area that the public has access to. 10 And, there's two employees working behind the counter 11 there. One of them is a gentleman named Paul, and the other 12 13 one is a gentleman named -- he's a new employee named Joe. 14 And, where is this in relation to the garage area we were 15 looking at in Exhibit 146? 16 So, this -- this camera's in a very similar position. 17 It's mounted on the other side of the same wall. And down in 18 the right corner you will see the gray mat which is where the 19 entrance door is. 2.0 And that's the same door that was shown -- well, shown --21 you can't see it on the first video, but that's the door that 22 they access to get into the customer area. 23 Okay, thank you. 24 MR. KINGSLEY: Could we play the video, Ms. Oki? 25 (Portion of videotape played in open court)

```
1
              MR. KINGSLEY: Could we pause just briefly?
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
 2
 3
         It appears that there's a person in the top right picture
 4
   of this video. Do you know who that is?
 5
         Yeah, that's Donna. She is the third part -- what we call
 6
    the counter person that works there.
 7
   Q
         What is her last name?
         Donna Aragon.
 8
 9
         Thank you.
              MR. KINGSLEY: Continue.
10
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
11
              MR. KINGSLEY: Can we fast forward ahead about 30
12
13
   seconds.
14
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I want the whole record
15
   played out.
16
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                             I have no objection to playing --
17
              THE COURT: All right, continue with it as it is.
18
              MR. KINGSLEY: Can we go back to where we were
19
   before, 150, I think?
2.0
         (Portion of videotape played in open court)
21
              MR. KINGSLEY: Can we stop it now? Thank you.
22
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
23
         So, at the end of the scene here, the gentleman in the
24
   video exited the frame to the right. Where would that be
25
    taking him to?
```

1 Back out to the parking area, to the driveway that 2 eventually will lead to Ellis Street. 3 Thank you. And again the person -- the video 4 seemed to depict him talking to somebody at the counter. 5 is that, that he was talking to? 6 That was Donna Aragon. Α 7 0 Okay. MR. KINGSLEY: No further questions, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Cross-examination. CROSS EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. BRUGNARA: 11 12 Hello. 13 So, the date of the video was February 5th. In fact, the Marshals or whoever asked you for that information, did they 14 15 get it several weeks later? Which information? The video? 16 17 The video, yeah. 18 Correct. I believe it was about a week and a half, two 19 weeks later. 2.0 About two weeks later? 21 Yeah. Α 22 And, did they explain to you that Brugnara told them that 23 he went to British Motor Cars? 24 They were simply looking for some video of an

That was

individual -- they gave me a description at the time.

```
about all the information I had.
 2
              MR. BRUGNARA: All right. Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Anything more?
 4
              MR. KINGSLEY: No redirect, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are free to go.
 6
   are discharged.
 7
         (Witness excused)
              THE COURT: Next witness.
 8
 9
              MR. KINGSLEY: The United States calls Donna Aragon.
              THE COURT: Good morning, welcome. Just stand right
10
    there, and raise your right hand.
11
12
                             DONNA ARAGON,
13
    called as a witness for the Government herein, having been
    first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
14
15
              THE WITNESS:
                            I do.
16
              THE CLERK: Okay, thank you.
17
              THE COURT:
                         Welcome, and please move the mic so --
18
    see how mine moves? And move it so it catches your voice.
19
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
                         Say your name, please.
2.0
              THE COURT:
2.1
              THE WITNESS: Donna Aragon.
22
              THE COURT: A little closer.
23
              THE WITNESS: Donna Aragon.
24
              THE COURT: That's great. Thank you.
25
         Go ahead.
```

## 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 3 Where do you work, Ms. Aragon? 4 Α British Motor Cars. 5 And what's your job at British Motor Cars? 6 Α Part sales, auto part. 7 Q And, how long have you worked at British Motor Cars? 8 Α About 15 years. 9 Q Okay. 10 MR. KINGSLEY: Ms. Oki, could we pull up Government 11 Exhibit 147 again, please. And publish it to the jury also. 12 THE COURT: Can you see it there? 13 THE WITNESS: I can. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. KINGSLEY: And if we could fast-forward to some 16 point in the middle of the video. Okay. Please pause it. 17 (Request complied with by Ms. Oki) BY MR. KINGSLEY: 18 19 Ms. Aragon, do you recognize what's depicted in this 2.0 video? 21 Yes, I do. 22 What is it? 23 It's the parts department. 24 MR. KINGSLEY: Can we actually back it up to where we 25 can see the person behind the counter?

```
1
         All right, can we pause it again.
 2
         (Request complied with by Ms. Oki)
 3
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
 4
         Can you tell me who that -- the person in the upper
 5
    right-hand corner of the video?
 6
         It's a little bit dark. Are you talking in the back?
 7
    Q
         (Indicating)
                          In the circle.
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
              THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's really dark on my screen.
    I can't see.
10
11
   BY MR. KINGSLEY:
12
         Okay. Well, can you tell me where in this video -- do you
13
   normally work in this room?
14
         I do.
   Α
15
         And where do you normally work?
         To the right, behind the counter.
16
17
         Okay. Do you know if that person is you? Or can you not
    tell?
18
19
         Yeah.
                That's me.
2.0
                And, do you recognize the individual seated on the
         Okay.
    chairs in the bottom of the picture (indicating)?
21
22
         Oh --
   Α
         Do you remember an individual matching that picture?
23
24
         I do.
25
    Q
         Okay.
```

1 MR. KINGSLEY: Can we play the video from here on to the witness, please? 2 3 (Portion of videotape played in open court) 4 BY MR. KINGSLEY: 5 And if you could just watch, and then I'm going to ask you 6 some questions about what happens in the video. 7 (Portion of videotape played in open court) MR. KINGSLEY: Can we just pause it, please? 8 9 (Request complied with by Ms. Oki) BY MR. KINGSLEY: 10 So I would like to direct your attention to the time that 11 12 this video is capturing. Do you remember the events that are happening in the video? 13 I do. 14 15 All right. Can you just describe for me, based on your memory, what happened in -- leading up to the time that that 16 17 individual approaches you, and then while he's standing there 18 talking to you, please? 19 A gentleman came into the department, was not interested 2.0 in buying parts, but just was out of breath and seemed to need to sit down for a minute. 21 22 Did he ask you for anything? 23 Not before he walked up to the counter, no. 24 And you said he seemed out of breath. What made you think 25 that?

- 1 **A** He was breathing heavy, and sweating.
- $2 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$  So, he walked up to you at the counter, and then what
- 3 | happened?
- $4 \mid \mathbf{A}$  Asked to use the telephone.
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$  Did he tell you why he wanted to use the telephone?
- 6 A Not at first, but at some point he said he was trying to
- 7 | call his wife.
- 8  $\mathbb{Q}$  And did he tell you where his wife was at the time?
- 9 A Upstairs.
- 10 | Q "Upstairs" referring to what?
- 11 A British Motors, in our service department, I would
- 12 | imagine.
- 13 **Q** Did you allow him to use the phone?
- 14 | A No. I dialed -- said I could dial for him.
- 15 **Q** And did you dial for him?
- 16 **A** I did.
- 17 **Q** How many times did you dial for him?
- 18 **A** About three times.
- 19 Q Did he provide you with phone numbers to dial?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 **Q** Do you remember what those numbers were?
- 22 **A** I do not.
- 23 | Q Do you remember if they were all the same number? Or some
- 24 | were different numbers?
- 25 A They seemed to be the same number, but the call never went

```
1
    through.
 2
         So did any of those calls go through?
 3
    Α
         No.
 4
         And about how long did that go on for?
 5
         A few -- just a few minutes.
 6
         Did he say anything else to you that you remember from
 7
    that encounter?
         Just that he, at one point he said he felt like he was
 8
 9
   going to have a heart attack. He was breathing heavy. And so
    we -- he just sat down.
10
11
         And then what happened after he sat down?
         I'm not -- I don't remember if it was before or after
12
    that, that he needed to use the telephone.
13
         Okay. Did eventually he leave?
14
15
         Yes.
16
         All right. Do you recognize the man that you spoke to
17
    that day in the courtroom right now?
18
         He looks like the gentleman sitting over here
19
    (indicating).
2.0
              MR. KINGSLEY: May the record reflect that Ms. Aragon
    has identified the defendant?
2.1
22
              THE COURT: Yes, that's what happened.
23
              MR. KINGSLEY: No further questions, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Cross-examination.
25
```

## 1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BRUGNARA: 3 Hello, Ms. Aragon. 4 Good morning. Good afternoon. Α 5 Just a couple of questions. 6 Sure. 7 When I came into the parts department there in British Motors, isn't it true I was physically not doing so well? 8 9 Α Yes. And I think you interviewed with one of the agents, and I 10 11 think your quote was, "It looked like he was having a heart attack"? 12 13 MR. KINGSLEY: Objection. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 14 15 Can you tell us your exact -- it was similar to your 16 testimony, but it was a heart attack or stroke or something 17 along those lines? 18 THE COURT: That is an improper question. You need 19 to start all over again. The jury will disregard that comment. 2.0 (Simultaneous speakers) 21 (Reporter interruption) 22 THE COURT: You can't get into the interview memo 23 until she contradicts it in some way. BY MR. BRUGNARA: 24 25 Based on your observation, how did I look?

```
1
         You looked out of breath and sweaty. But you had
 2
   mentioned you felt like you were having a heart attack.
 3
         Okay. Now, you made these phone calls. It wasn't a local
 4
   number, was it?
 5
         I don't remember.
 6
         Okay. Okay. Do you remember that -- you don't remember
 7
    that it was local? Do you remember it was a 949 prefix?
         I do not remember.
 8
 9
         You keep a record -- your -- British Motors, I would
    assume, keeps phone records, correct?
10
11
         I don't know.
12
         Okay.
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Anything more?
14
15
              MR. KINGSLEY: No redirect, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Aragon.
                                                               You
17
    are free to go.
18
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
19
         (Witness excused)
              THE COURT: Next witness.
2.0
2.1
              MS. HARRIS: Thank you, your Honor. The United
22
    States calls Tim Aoyagi.
23
24
25
```

1 TIM AOYAGI, called as a witness for the Government herein, having been 2 3 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: THE WITNESS: 4 T do. 5 THE COURT: All right welcome. Please move the 6 microphone -- have a seat, please. Move your microphone so 7 that it catches your voice. It's got to be about that close. 8 Say your name, please. 9 THE WITNESS: My name is Tim Aoyagi. Spell your last name. 10 THE COURT: It's A-O-Y-A-G-I. 11 THE WITNESS: 12 THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, Counsel. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HARRIS: 14 15 Good morning. Can you tell us where you currently work. 16 I currently work at the San Jose resident agency of the 17 FBI. 18 How long have you worked for the FBI? I have worked for the FBI for about six years now. 19 2.0 What squad are you currently on? 21 I'm on C12, which is the violent crimes squad. 22 Can you describe for us your general duties and 23 responsibilities? 24 Well, the violent crimes squad takes care of all types of 25 violent crime, just what it sounds like. Among is those duties

```
are fugitive work.
 2
         Okay. And when you mention "fugitive work," can you tell
 3
   us what that refers to?
 4
         Well, I specifically am part of a fugitive task force in
 5
    the South Bay. And our primary responsibility is to locate and
 6
   apprehend fugitives.
 7
              THE COURT: You are doing so good. You're too close
   to the microphone.
 8
 9
              THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir.
              THE COURT: I usually have to -- well, get a little
10
11
    closer, but you're --
12
              THE WITNESS: Too close?
13
              THE COURT: Yeah, you were slightly -- yeah, try
   about that distance.
14
15
         Okay. Next question.
   BY MS. HARRIS:
16
17
         So, on February 11, 2015, were you a member of the
18
    fugitive squad, fugitive task force?
19
         I was.
2.0
         And drawing your attention to February 11, 2015, were you
21
   on duty that day?
22
         Yes, I was.
23
         Did you have a particular assignment?
24
         On that day, we were looking for a fugitive named Luke
25
   Brugnara.
```

```
1
              MR. BRUGNARA: On February 11, 2015?
 2
              THE COURT: I thought -- what was the date you
 3
   referred to?
 4
   BY MS. HARRIS:
 5
         February 11, 2015, were you --
 6
         That's right, February 11, 2015.
 7
         Okay. And were you -- what was the name of the fugitive
 8
   you were looking for?
 9
         The name was Luke Brugnara.
              MR. BRUGNARA: Object, Your Honor.
10
11
   BY MS. HARRIS:
12
         Were you working?
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: Object to the question.
14
              THE COURT: What is the objection?
15
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well "fugitive" implies conviction.
16
    It doesn't imply alleged abscond. Two completely different
17
    terms.
18
              THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
19
   BY MS. HARRIS:
2.0
         Why were you looking for Luke Brugnara?
2.1
         We were told that he had fled from court custody in
22
   San Francisco.
23
         And, on February 11, 2015, were you working with anyone
24
   else that day?
25
   Α
         Yes, I was working with normal members of the South Bay
```

- 1 branch of the fugitive task force.
- $2 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$  And were you actually on patrol?
- $3 \parallel \mathbf{A}$  I was on duty, yes.
- 4 | Q Did you receive information concerning the possible
- 5 | location of the fugitive, Luke Brugnara?
- 6 A Yes, we learned that he was likely staying at an apartment
- 7 | complex in Los Gatos.
- 8 Q After you received information that the fugitive Luke
- 9 Brugnara may be staying at an apartment complex in Los Gatos,
- 10 | what did you do?
- 11 A Well, the team moved to that complex and set up
- 12 | surveillance there, because, of course, our duty was to locate
- 13 and apprehend him.
- 14 | Q Were you part of that surveillance?
- 15 **A** Yes, I was.
- 16  $\mathbb{Q}$  And were you in a car that day?
- 17 | A I was. I was in the passenger seat of an unmarked Marshal
- 18 | vehicle.
- 19  $\|\mathbf{Q}\|$  Drawing your attention to approximately 10:40 in the
- 20 | morning of February 11, 2015, can you tell us what you saw?
- 21  $|| \mathbf{A} |$  At about that time we saw a vehicle exiting the compound,
- 22 | it was a blue Toyota Highlander, and we had a female driver who
- 23 was unknown to us. And in the passenger seat of that vehicle
- 24 was a man resembling Luke Brugnara.
- 25 Q When you say "resembling," a man resembling Luke Brugnara

- was in the passenger seat, had you been shown a photograph of Mr. Brugnara before you set up surveillance?
- Yes, we had. That was covered in the brief that morning, when we discussed what we were doing that day. We were given the name Luke Brugnara, and shown the photo of Mr. Brugnara.
- Okay. Taking you back to now what you saw happen at about 10:40, what -- where did the male get into the car, where was he?
- 9 A The male was in the passenger seat of the car.
- 10  $\|\mathbf{Q}\|$  Okay. What did you observe the car do?

2

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- 11 A The vehicle was exiting the compound, and drove by us
  12 because where I was staged was inside the compound in one of
  13 the parking spaces.
- 14 **Q** When you saw the vehicle leave the compound, what was the 15 next thing you did?
  - A After the vehicle left the compound, because the man in the passenger seat, as I said, looked like Mr. Brugnara, we followed that vehicle in order to, again, locate and apprehend our fugitive.
  - Q Can you tell us what you observed the car that was being driven by the female and appeared to have Luke Brugnara in the passenger seat do?
- 23 A The car initially turned right which is southbound on
  24 Bascom Avenue in San Jose. And there's an onramp to the
  25 freeway which is 85 within less than a mile from that apartment

1 complex. 2 So the vehicle turned on to 85 northbound. And, seemed to 3 us that it must have seen us, because it started driving 4 erratically, weaving in and out of traffic. 5 Were you following the vehicle at this time? 6 We were. We were directly behind the vehicle. 7 And when you say that the car appeared to be driving erratically, can you describe for us what you saw? 8 9 Initially the car was in the far right lane. And it Yes. changed all the way to the left and then back to the right, 10 11 weaving in and out of traffic. 12 So that appeared to us to be --13 MR. BRUGNARA: Objection. 14 THE WITNESS: That type --MR. BRUGNARA: Objection. Objection. 15 That's not weaving. Going from one side of a lane to the other side is 16 17 not weaving. 18 THE COURT: Well, just, without characterizing it, 19 tell us how many lanes there were, how quickly the shift in 2.0 lanes occurred, and so forth. MR. BRUGNARA: The fast lane to the next exit. 2.1 22 That's not a weave. That's a lane change. 23 THE COURT: No, no, let's get the facts. Tell us the 24 facts of the movement. 25 MR. BRUGNARA: (Inaudible)

1 THE COURT: Without characterizing it as "weaving," 2 please. 3 BY MS. HARRIS: 4 You can go ahead and tell us what you saw the car do. 5 We saw the car change from the far right lane to the far 6 left-hand lane, and then go back to the right lane. And there 7 was traffic that it was going in between. THE COURT: How many lanes were there? Were there 8 9 two lanes, three lanes, four lanes? How many lanes? THE WITNESS: From what I recall, there were three 10 lanes at that part of the freeway. 11 BY MS. HARRIS: 12 13 Based on your training and experience, what was your interpretation of what you were seeing? 14 15 I -- that looked to me to be a kind of attempt to evade surveillance. 16 17 What happened after you saw what you described as an 18 abrupt lane change? Well, after we saw that, because it appeared to us to be 19 2.0 an attempt to evade surveillance, and because it was dangerous 21 driving with other traffic on the road, we activated our 22 emergency lights and siren. 23 And after we did that, the vehicle pulled over to the 24 shoulder right away. 25 Okay. When the vehicle pulled over to the shoulder, what

was the next thing that you did? 2 Well, we got out of our vehicle and approached the vehicle 3 from the passenger side, in order to try to verify that this 4 was in fact our fugitive, Mr. Brugnara. 5 Did you, when you approached the male passenger, ask for 6 photo identification? 7 Yes, we did. As we approached, we announced we were law enforcement --8 9 (Reporter interruption) THE WITNESS: As we approached, we announced our 10 identity as law enforcement. And we also asked the passenger 11 12 for a photo ID, because he looked like the photo that we had 13 been shown. But we wanted to be sure, so we asked for that. BY MS. HARRIS: 14 15 Did the passenger give you any photo identification? 16 No, he said that he did not have any photo ID. 17 Did you ask the passenger what his name was? 18 Yes. I did. 19 What did the passenger and the male passenger say his name 2.0 was? He told that his name was Glenn. 21 22 Do you see the person who identified himself as Glenn 23 sitting in this courtroom? 24 I do. I see him at the defense table, on the far right.

MS. HARRIS: For the record, can the record reflect

that the witness has identified the defendant? 2 THE COURT: Yes, that's what occurred. 3 BY MS. HARRIS: 4 After the defendant told you his name was Glenn, did he 5 say where he was going? 6 Yes. He said he was going to the gym. 7 What was the defendant wearing when he told you he was going to the gym? 8 9 At the time he was just wearing street clothes, which, again, in conjunction with the --10 MR. BRUGNARA: Objection, Your Honor. Sweat clothes 11 is not street clothes. Objection, he's obviously been coached. 12 13 **THE COURT:** No, just answer with respect to what you 14 saw, and not give us editorial comment. 15 BY MS. HARRIS: 16 What was the defendant wearing? 17 He was wearing a sweatshirt, and from what I recall, it 18 was a pair of jeans. Okay. After the defendant said he was going to the gym, 19 2.0 what was the next thing that happened? 21 At that point, we had the person we saw exit the vehicle, 22 and handcuffed him and searched him. 23 Okay. And was the female defendant also detained --24 female driver also detained?

She was also removed from the vehicle, but she was

25

Α

Yes.

handled separately from Mr. Brugnara. 2 At some point after Mr. Brugnara was detained, did you receive information about the female driver? 3 4 Yes, we did. The Marshal, who is addressing the female 5 driver at the same time I was addressing Mr. Brugnara, said 6 that she had said that the man's name was Luke. 7 After the female driver identified the passenger as being named Luke, what was the next thing that happened with respect 8 9 to the defendant. At that point we placed the defendant under arrest because 10 that verified our belief that this was, in fact, our fugitive. 11 Was the defendant searched incident to his arrest? 12 13 Yes, he was. And were any items removed from his person during the 14 15 search? 16 Yes. He had a black cellular phone, a flip phone. 17 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 BY MS. HARRIS 2.0 I'm showing you what's been marked as Government's Exhibit 150 for identification. 2.1 22 (Whereupon exhibit was tendered to the witness.) 23 Is Exhibit 150 the phone that was booked into evidence as 24 having been removed from Luke Brugnara's person on February 10, 25 2015?

```
1
         That's correct.
 2
         And what was the date that Mr. Brugnara was actually
 3
    arrested?
               I think there is some confusion.
 4
         I may have misspoken earlier. What date was he actually
 5
    arrested?
 6
         It was February 11th.
 7
    Q
         February 11th?
         Of this year.
 8
 9
         So what date was the cell phone taken from Mr. Brugnara's
    person? Was that also February 11th?
10
11
         Yes.
               It was the same day.
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, I offer Government's
12
13
    Exhibit 150 into evidence.
              THE COURT: Received.
14
15
         (Trial Exhibit 150 received in evidence.)
   BY MS. HARRIS
16
17
              MS. HARRIS: No further questions, your Honor.
                          Thank you. Cross-examination.
18
              THE COURT:
19
                            CROSS EXAMINATION
   BY MR. BRUGNARA
2.0
21
         Good morning.
    Q
22
         Good morning.
23
    Q
         Mr. Aoyagi?
24
         Yes, that's very close.
25
    Q
         All right. Were you in the military, Mr. Aoyagi?
```

1 Yes, sir. Α 2 Good guess, huh. 3 So first question, Mr. Aoyagi, is, in fact, you knew 4 exactly the apartment where I was at because I notified the 5 authorities where I was at; isn't that true? 6 No, it's not. 7 Really? What makes you say that comment? MS. HARRIS: Objection, argumentative. 8 9 THE COURT: Again, the -- anything the witness says like that is not evidence and may not be taken by you as 10 11 evidence. You may ask questions --12 MR. BRUGNARA: I will ask another question. THE COURT: You may not make affirmative statements 13 14 to the jury. 15 BY MR. BRUGNARA 16 When you got that burner phone, as you qualified it, did 17 you check the numbers on that phone? 18 No, I didn't. 19 Okay. But you, in fact, knew about the phone, did you 2.0 not? 2.1 Well, all I knew was that you were supposedly staying at 22 that apartment complex. I knew that and I had been shown a 23 photo. 24 Correct.

That's the extent of my knowledge.

25

Α

1 They knew I was at that -- did the Marshals tell you, in 2 fact, since you were assisting the Marshals -- you testified 3 you were assisting the Marshals, correct? 4 That's right. 5 Did the Marshals tell you that they knew that I was there 6 because I notified them that I was there? 7 MS. HARRIS: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. 8 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MS. HARRIS: Hearsay. THE COURT: Sustained. 11 BY MR. BRUGNARA 12 13 You guys, you're on the Violent Task Force in San Jose? 14 The Violent Crime Squad, yes. 15 You guys run out of bad guys down there to apprehend, huh? Not often. 16 17 Really? So why would an FBI assist the Marshals on a 18 non-violent, single-claimant financial matter? 19 THE COURT: Argumentative. Ignore that question. 2.0 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, it's a --2.1 THE COURT: Ask a proper question. 22 MR. BRUGNARA: He opened the door when he said he's a 23 violent crime FBI agent. They must be running out of violent 24 criminals if they have a lot of free time to be wasting 25 taxpayer's money in this.

1 THE COURT: Ask a question. That's not proper. The jury will disregard that speech. 2 3 BY MR. BRUGNARA 4 Regarding when the car was pulled over. In fact, you 5 didn't -- in fact, the party that, in fact, pulled the car over 6 was not you, isn't that correct? 7 I was the passenger of the car that pulled you over. Oh, you were in the passenger -- it was a white male that 8 9 was in the car with you, isn't that correct? That's correct. 10 Α 11 And, in fact, when you guys came out of the car he was, in 12 fact, the one that asked me the question, not you, isn't that 13 correct? 14 He announced that he was -- identity as law enforcement. 15 And, honestly, I don't remember who asked you what your name 16 was. 17 Okay. He, in fact -- the question he, in fact, asked to 18 me, as you were standing there, was: Where did you come from? 19 And isn't it true that I told both of you "Glenn Dyer." 2.0 You did not tell us that when we first apprehended you. That's what I said and --21 22 MS. HARRIS: Objection, your Honor. 23 BY MR. BRUGNARA 24 And do you know -- do you know --25 THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. BRUGNARA 2 Do you know --3 THE COURT: The jury will disregard that comment. 4 BY MR. BRUGNARA 5 Do you know what Glenn Dyer is? 6 THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara, when I'm ruling you must 7 be -- you must be respectful. I'm sorry. 8 MR. BRUGNARA: 9 THE COURT: And stop doing what you're doing, 10 interrupting the judge. 11 That comment that was made by Mr. Brugnara is not evidence. You must disregard it. Only what the witness 12 13 affirms is evidence. BY MR. BRUGNARA 14 15 Do you know what Glenn Dyer is? 16 Yes, I do. Α 17 Okay. What is it? 18 It's a jail. 19 And are you aware that Luke Brugnara was at Glenn Dyer? 2.0 That's where he was being housed? I did not know that. 21 22 Isn't it true that -- or when you pulled over the 23 vehicle with your partner from the Marshals, he couldn't 24 recognize me, isn't that factual? A factual statement? 25 Α What happened was, you resemble the picture that we No.

```
were shown very much, but always want to make sure that we're
   not, obviously, arresting the wrong person. So we ask
 2
 3
   follow-up questions to try to verify that our suspicions were
 4
    correct and you were, in fact, Luke Brugnara.
 5
         Why didn't I look similar to the photograph that you had?
 6
         You looked a little bit older and thinner in person than
 7
   you had in the photo.
         Okay. And that photo was from just a few months earlier,
 8
 9
    isn't that correct?
         I have no idea when the photo was taken.
10
         I have no further questions.
11
12
              THE COURT: Anything more?
13
              MS. HARRIS: No, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15
         Thank you, Agent.
                            You're free as to go.
16
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you, sir.
17
         (Witness excused.)
              THE COURT: Next witness.
18
19
              MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor, the Government moves to
2.0
    admit what's previously marked -- been marked as Exhibit 287.
2.1
    It's an authenticated set of business records, of AT&T records.
22
              THE COURT: Are these the ones we went over prior to
23
    trial?
24
              MR. KINGSLEY: Yes, a subset of them.
25
              THE COURT: Received.
```

```
1
         (Trial Exhibit 287 received in evidence.)
 2
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                             The government also moves to admit a
 3
   prior statement recorded in a certified transcript as
 4
    Government's Exhibit 293.
 5
         Do you want to take a look at it?
 6
              THE COURT: Yes, I would.
 7
         (Whereupon, document was tendered to the Court.)
              THE COURT: Have you shown the defendant?
 8
 9
         (Brief pause.)
              THE COURT: All right. So any objection?
10
              MR. KINGSLEY: I'm not sure if the defendant has that
11
12
    exact copy.
13
              THE COURT: Show them what you just showed me.
14
         (Document was shown to Mr. Brugnara counsel.)
15
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yes, your Honor.
16
         We already provided your Honor with the three-page
17
    completeness of the record to complete this exact transcript
18
    from a few pages thereafter.
              THE COURT: Well, I still have that under
19
2.0
    consideration, and I haven't sorted that out yet. But if -- if
2.1
    the rule of completeness requires that those additional pages
22
   be included, then I'm going to include them, even if it's in
23
   your case.
24
         And so -- so just -- any other objection?
25
              MR. BRUGNARA: Just rule of completeness, your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 MR. BRUGNARA: And you have those pages. They were 3 provided to you. 4 THE COURT: 293. Can I -- but I would like to have a 5 copy for my -- because I am evaluating that question. Do you 6 have a copy I can use? 7 MR. KINGSLEY: We can give you a copy, yes. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 8 9 (Trial Exhibit 293 received in evidence.) MR. KINGSLEY: May I read it to the jury, please? 10 11 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. Say "Question," "Answer," "Question," "Answer," so that 12 13 the jury can follow along and tell them the date and all of 14 that. 15 MR. KINGSLEY: This is a transcript of proceedings dated Wednesday, June 18, 2014. It is the testimony of Luke 16 17 Brugnara. It states he was previously sworn. And the 18 examination is by Mr. Sprague. 19 And I'm going to start with a question. 2.0 "QUESTION: You testified that you got a phone 2.1 call in response to this email from one of 12 or one of 500 --22 23 "ANSWER: Uh-huh. 24 "QUESTION: -- people at Sotheby's, is that 25 correct?

1 "ANSWER: That's the way I testified. 2 correct. "QUESTION: And when did you get that phone call? 3 4 "ANSWER: I don't have -- I don't have to -- I 5 don't have my -- my -- it's recently -- I mean, right 6 around this same period. 7 "QUESTION: Around Thursday, April 3? "ANSWER: Yes, somewhere -- somewhere -- it would 8 9 be somewhere in that range. "QUESTION: Was it before Ms. Long showed up at 10 your house on April 7? 11 "ANSWER: Yeah. 12 13 "QUESTION: So between the evening of April 3 and the morning of April 7? 14 15 "ANSWER: I would have to check, but somewhere in 16 that period of time. 17 "THE COURT: Well, how could it possibly have 18 been before your email to the guy at Sotheby's? "THE WITNESS: Well --19 2.0 "THE COURT: That was April 3rd. 21 "THE WITNESS: Well, I sent an email, yeah. 22 sent an email to Sotheby's here on the 3rd, so it 23 would have to be sometime -- yeah, it would be 24 sometime in that period, so." 25 BY MR SPRAGUE:

1 "QUESTION: Okay. So on April 4, 5th or 6th you get a call from somebody at Sotheby's who says that the 2 3 de Koonings are not authentic? 4 "ANSWER: That's correct. 5 "QUESTION: Who was that person? 6 "ANSWER: I don't have that person's name." 7 THE COURT: All right. MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, again, objection. 8 9 I think this is the time, for the sake of judicial economy in the court, to read the subsequent superseding information 10 regarding that exact -- that subject matter. 11 12 THE COURT: The Court is going to -- it still has 13 that under consideration. MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, but the jury now sitting with 14 15 information that's incomplete. It's prejudicial to me unless 16 we complete the record now since the Court has already -- has 17 the one page --18 **THE COURT:** I'm overruling that point because I only 19 got from you today what you wanted me to read --2.0 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I had it last week --THE COURT: -- and I have not had a chance to review 2.1 22 So your objection is overruled. it. 23 All right. Next witness. 24 MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, the United States rests. 25 THE COURT: All right. We've reached a milestone in

the case. You've now heard the government's side of the issue. We're going to take a 15-minute recess at this point, and 2 3 so there we go. 4 Please don't talk about the case, even though you now 5 heard the government's case-in-chief. So, please, go back to 6 the jury room and have some good hot coffee and I'll see you in 7 15 minutes. (Jury exits courtroom at 11:04 a.m.) 8 9 **THE COURT:** Everyone, please, be seated. I need the Government to give me what you just read in so 10 under the rule of completeness, I have -- I was trying to sort 11 12 that out. If you don't have a copy, give me the original. 13 law clerk will go copy it. (Whereupon, document was tendered to the Court.) 14 MR. KINGSLEY: I have the exhibit and I also brought 15 an unredacted version of it as well. I'm not sure --16 17 **THE COURT:** Give me the one that was read, all right? 18 My law clerk will -- please, go copy that. Thank you. 19 All right. Are there any -- I'm going to -- at this point 2.0 I'm going to deem that the defendant has made a motion for 21 acquittal under Rule 29, is that correct? 22 MR. BRUGNARA: Yes, your Honor. We want to make a 23 motion for Rule 29 on all counts and -- let me get the counts 24 and I'll go down each one. 25 THE COURT: So how could there not -- I'll tell you

what we're going to do. We're going to reserve the argument on this until 1:00 o'clock because it will -- I want to give you 2 3 as much time as you need to make your points. But we've got to 4 resume with the jury. And if you're correct, then that count 5 will be dismissed based on the record to date, not on what 6 happens thereafter. 7 All right? So we will hear the argument on the Rule 29 motion after the jury leaves for the day. 8 9 The one thing -- all right. So -- so anything else that 10 the lawyers wish to bring up? MR. BRUGNARA: Yes. I need time to meet with James 11 Stevens and Richard Tamor --12 13 THE COURT: Where is your first witness? 14 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, that's one of the -- that's one of the problems. I was -- I -- in the limited amount of time 15 16 that I had last night, literally -- actually, not even last 17 night, this morning, by the time I finally got released into a I had prepared for Erik Babcock and --18 cell. 19 THE COURT: Is he here? 2.0 MR. STEVENS: He is. 2.1 MS. HARRIS: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. So we'll go with Babcock 23 first. 24 MR. BRUGNARA: Good. And then I want to find out if 25 we're going to be having anyone else. And I need to go -- see,

the problem is this. I don't have any staples. I don't have any paper clips. I don't even have a color divider. So I'm 2 3 left in this horrible situation where I have a scattering of 4 white papers without even a color divider. 5 So I have to -- and this Court goes very quickly through 6 its witness list. I have to make sure, at least, I have one or two witnesses keyed up here in my box. So once Babcock is 7 done, we flow into the next one. But I need a few minutes to 8 9 get organized. 10 THE COURT: Well, we'll give you a few minutes. All right. I'm ready to make a ruling on the pending 11 12 motion for contempt. Is there anything more that needs to be 13 said on that point? 14 MS. HARRIS: No, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I am -- here is -- I went 15 16 back and looked at the official transcript, and I'll just read 17 the relevant part: 18 "MR. BRUGNARA: Propaganda? This isn't Nazi This is a United States institution --19 2.0 "MS. HARRIS: Your Honor. Your Honor, could you 2.1 stop Mister --22 "THE COURT: All right. This --23 "MR. BRUGNARA: You dress like a Nazi." 24 All right. So 90 additional days. 25 And you've got to stop this, Mr. Brugnara.

1 MR. BRUGNARA: That's not -- this just isn't -- this 2 isn't fair. 3 THE COURT: Take it up with the Court of Appeals. 4 MR. BRUGNARA: This isn't fair. I'm a family man. Ι 5 have children that I haven't seen in ten -- can I speak? 6 THE COURT: No. You're not going to speak anything 7 more. The ruling is made. MR. BRUGNARA: This is unprecedented. 8 9 THE COURT: Ninety days is going to be tacked on to 10 whatever else you --This is unprecedented. 11 MR. BRUGNARA: 12 THE COURT: You're racking up a lot of time in this 13 case, but you deserve more. MR. BRUGNARA: This is unprecedented. 14 15 Your Honor, I want to make one comment, and I'm going to 16 be very calm and relaxed despite having one hour of sleep in 17 three -- you have orchestrated this venue. I had competent counsel with Steven Kalar and Brandon LeBlanc. You summarily 18 dismissed them when they had full knowledge of the emails that, 19 2.0 of course, are never even going to come into this case because 2.1 a Special Master had already sealed them off. 22 But the fact is I would have had my trial in July and I 23 would have never had to speak a word. I would have been silent 24 as a church mouse, like I was with Brian Getz, and Pat Hallilnan, and Ken Wine. I never spoke out once. 25

2.0

2.1

But, instead, you put me in this untenable position with Erik Babcock, who is a sole practitioner without even a secretary. And for seven months he met with me in one face-to-face visit in seven months because he was inundated with his state case. And then I was forced into being pro se status because then you took him, severing this case from the abscond charge, which you could have done. You didn't sever the abscond charge to let the man finish his ten -- eight months' investments into the fraud claim.

Instead, you pulled him off this case and you put me in the untenable position of proceeding ahead pro se, which I didn't want to do. And now you're punishing me just capriciously, unfairly with what? Now what is it? Nine months of contempt?

That's -- that's I mean, I have sat back there with guys that have Form 12 violations, illegal drugs, methamphetamine, cocaine in your court on a Form 12 violation that you have just given four months to. Illegally breaking the laws.

I'm here exerting my First Amendment rights to free speech. The First Amendment of the United States Constitution and not using profanity, not doing anything that would warrant a Marshal coming up and even physically touching me. And because you don't like and she doesn't like the way I communicate, you're penalizing me under the laws of the United States.

1 I have a family. I have four teenage children. No. haven't seen them in ten months. I laughed when I saw what 2 3 they admitted to evidence on the furlough order, where it says, 4 "And Mr. Brugnara will get to see his children for one hour at 5 Christmas." I never saw them one hour at Christmas. 6 You know why? Because they never signed the stipulation 7 that Allen Lew signed. My wife phoned five times, Allen Lew. Oh, we're waiting for the United States Government, the 8 9 prosecutors, to sign the stipulation. They don't care. Yeah, she's worse than a Nazi in my 10 opinion. Because the reality is at least they got to be around 11 12 their families in a concentration camp. They don't care if I 13 don't see my children --14 THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara. Mr. Brugnara. 15 MR. BRUGNARA: That's -- they're beyond --16 THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara --17 MR. BRUGNARA: No. You don't care. My mother could 18 have died. She had her colon stripped out of her body in 19 February -- in September and I begged you: Let me phone her. Let me go see her. And you said: No. You're not going to see 2.0 21 your dying mother. Thank God, she survived. 22 You don't care about me. Do you don't care about my 23 mother. You don't care about my children. None of you care 24 about me. You don't care I slept on a room fool of feces for 25 30 hours.

1 Listen. You saw what Barbato said. I'm dignified. respected outside the walls of this courtroom, where people are 2 3 lending me hundreds of millions of dollars. Yeah, another 4 guy's going to come and say: Yeah, I lent him 200 million. 5 Okay. Well, Barbato is at 600 million. 200 million. A 6 billion dollars. That just puts it in perspective how many 7 people trust me. And I get no respect and no, my day is more valuable than anybody's or, certainly, on par with the value of 8 9 your day. So you say: Ahh, I'm going to take another 90 days of 10 11 your life because of what you said about Ms. Harris. Well, now, for the record, I'm not going 12 THE COURT: 13 to try to argue with you again on the many misrepresentations and falsehoods in your recent speech just now. I just hope the 14 Court of Appeals will go back and find the true record on what 15 16 happened that those circumstances. 17 You had earlier got away with saying that Ms. Harris 18 dresses like she belongs in North Korea. I let that one pass 19 and told you not to do it again. You did it again. 2.0 MR. BRUGNARA: No, you didn't --2.1 THE COURT: Lawyers -- lawyers don't --22 MR. BRUGNARA: You gave me 90 days --23 THE COURT: Lawyers don't --24 MR. BRUGNARA: You gave me 90 days on that. 25 THE COURT: Lawyers don't -- well, if I did, you

deserved it. 1 2 MR. BRUGNARA: So you're giving me six months --3 **THE COURT:** So you are not going to -- you're not 4 going to do it again. I'm giving you what I hope will deter 5 you from this kind of --6 MR. BRUGNARA: It won't deter me. 7 THE COURT: This poor jury has had to listen to tirades by you. You're trying to make this trial into the 8 biggest train wreck you can. MR. BRUGNARA: You're not going to change me because 10 11 I'm innocent. If I was guilty, I would be the first to lay on this floor 12 and say: Punish me as best you think is fit. 13 I am innocent of these charges and I am not going to 14 continue to be persecuted like this. This isn't a prosecution. 15 16 This is a persecution. I was thrown in a cell with feces and 17 liquid human waste for 30 hours. It was an outrage. 18 I have been dragged through this mud for ten months having 19 attorneys not see me for -- thrown this pods with murderers who 2.0 are actually convicted. 2.1 No. I'm innocent and I haven't done anything. I didn't 22 steal that stupid Degas bronze. We're going to have testimony 23 that it's not even worth a thousand bucks. No one has ever 24 called me a thief in 50 years of my life. 25 THE COURT: If it were worth \$10, the evidence is

```
1
   overwhelming --
 2
             MR. BRUGNARA: Oh, and I'm a thief. I live in a
 3
   $25 million house. I'm going to steal a 1,000 or 50,000 --
 4
              THE COURT: All right. You interrupted me once
   again.
 5
 6
        All right. This hearing at an end. When I come back, you
 7
   better have your first witness ready or you will be deemed to
   have rested. All right.
 8
 9
         (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
          from 11:14 a.m. until 11:26 a.m.)
10
              THE COURT: All right, be seated.
11
12
        Are we ready?
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, I feel that I'm being
    rushed and not given enough opportunity to prepare for my
14
15
    case-in-chief in light of the logistic issues that have
16
   happened in the last five days.
17
        First, I need to find out -- because, apparently,
   Mr. Levinson is here -- if he's going to be able to opine on
18
19
    the value of the Sea Cliff.
2.0
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Who?
2.1
             MR. BRUGNARA: Levinson. He is the president of
22
    the -- just sold the house two doors over last week.
23
              THE COURT: He's out in the hallway?
24
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yeah, yeah.
25
              THE COURT: What's the problem?
```

1 MR. BRUGNARA: Well, because you just said that I needed to file a 706 on paper to you. If that's the case, I'll 2 3 write one up right now just to save judicial economy and time 4 here. 5 THE COURT: I -- I will let him testify up to the 6 point that it becomes opinion testimony, but there are some --7 there are things that would probably help you that are in the nature of things he could testify to as a fact witness and 8 where we reach the point of -- I don't know Mr. Brugnara. have to go question by question. 10 MR. BRUGNARA: Okay. So I'll reserve the right to 11 12 call him back, just so we keep plodding ahead. 13 THE COURT: If you've got him out there in the 14 hallway, you ought to call him now. 15 MR. BRUGNARA: This is the thing. You know, I'm 16 really -- this is the problem. I'm hitting the end of my rope 17 here metaphorically with this process because I have so many 18 obstacles to overcome starting with just my living conditions and my physical conditions and what I'm enduring being 19 2.0 hamstrung in this case. And then being compounded with being 21 hit with violations of what the Court perceives as 22 inappropriate conduct, you know, which is just stealing from me 23 my liberty. I just -- you know. And then, okay, but now let's 24 proceed ahead, case-in-chief. It's just not that simple. It's 25 not that black and white.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Compounded with the fact this Court has already ruled that my rights were violated, being pulled out on Friday and I lost the entire weekend. I'm still trying to be cooperative. Plodded ahead yesterday. And then I got moved again last night. You know, as long as I have a right to call back witnesses -- you've got to remember, too, the Court appointed associate attorneys. I lost four hours of meetings with them that were scheduled already on Saturday and Sunday that this Court determined was necessary for the fluid operation -- you know, the fluid conduct in this case. So for me to be hit with two successive contempt orders in great part that were due to procedural problems I was encountering, interpretation of the Rules of Evidence, that's the exact reason why these two guys were hired by the Court. And it wasn't my fault that I didn't meet with them for four hours. So I want you -- I would request that you reconsider that; not now, but at your own leisure. And, you know, let's -- like you said, let's keep moving ahead, but I'm not happy about how -- how I'm being cut short. I'm not getting time to meet-and-confer with my procedural counsel here. It's not fair to me. THE COURT: That was a long rambling speech.

not going to go back and revisit your inflammatory comments

about Ms. Harris and the way she dresses. It's unacceptable, and we're not going to have any more of that. And there is no 2 3 way you needed to consult with anybody to know that that was 4 improper. So, too bad for you. We're not going to revisit 5 that. 6 All right. Now, if you are asking for a continuance --7 you said you were ready to go with Mr. Babcock. Is he out there in the hallway? 8 9 MS. HARRIS: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Do you not want to put Babcock on the 11 stand? MR. BRUGNARA: Well, I just was conferring with 12 associate counsel on the procedural questions, and they advised 13 me that certain questions need to be tailored in a certain 14 15 fashion as to not upset the Court. 16 So we were just discussing -- I mean, just giving an extra ten, 15 minutes would -- I think would save a lot of grief for 17 18 this Court, just so I can make sure the questions are structured to comply with the Rules of Evidence. 19 2.0 I mean, listen, Mr. Kingsley, who's I guess one of the 21 esteemed lawyers in the U.S. Attorney's Office, he's literally 22 reading the questions -- and I'm sure that's good procedure, it 23 makes sense to me, right -- from a script. 24 I mean, it should be the reverse. I should be the one 25 reading from a script with the benefit of my associate counsels

from a procedural standpoint. Certainly not winging an impromptu, and just losing my train of thought, having to deal 2 3 with objections. 4 **THE COURT:** Are you asking for 15 minutes more of a 5 break? Is that what you're asking for? 6 MR. BRUGNARA: Yes. 7 THE COURT: I'll be even more generous than that, if you want. If you want to call it quits for the day and sit 8 here with your advisory counsel in the courtroom while I -- and I'll let the Marshals leave you here so you can consult with 10 them, and start fresh tomorrow, if that's what want to do. 11 Or if you want to take 15 minutes and do that now, I will 12 13 give you that, and we'll start in 15 more minutes. 14 MR. BRUGNARA: I accept your offer. I want --THE COURT: Which offer? 15 16 MR. BRUGNARA: Take the rest of the day. But I beg you to order at least James and at least Richard for part of 17 18 the time to be with me until a time certain, because I need as 19 much time as I can get with them. 2.0 THE COURT: I'm going to order them to be here until 2.1 1:00 with you. It's now 11:30. 22 MR. STEVENS: Your Honor, we have several witnesses 23 outside that I want to make sure are ordered back. THE COURT: Bring them in. I'll order them to come 24 25 back tomorrow.

```
1
              MR. STEVENS:
                            I will.
 2
              THE COURT: Before we do this, let's see if any of
 3
    them has a problem.
                         Bring them all in right now.
 4
              MR. TAMOR: Your Honor, the other thing is I don't
 5
   need to be ordered to meet with Mr. Brugnara. I mean, I
 6
    understand my obligations to the Court.
 7
              THE COURT:
                         He asked me to order you.
                         I know, but I'm saying --
 8
              MR. TAMOR:
 9
              THE COURT: Are you able to do it?
              MR. TAMOR:
10
                         Yes, but --
                          Then, I accept that. I don't order you
11
              THE COURT:
12
    to, but I request you to do it.
13
              MR. TAMOR:
                         Very well.
         (Brief pause.)
14
15
         (Potential witnesses enter the courtroom)
16
              THE COURT: All witnesses, I want them to come up
17
          Yes, all the witnesses who have been standing in the
18
   hallway, come up here.
19
         All right. I need for you to speak loudly so that the
2.0
    court reporter can -- how many.
2.1
         Your name is?
22
              MR. LEVINSON: Mark Levinson.
23
              THE COURT: Your name?
24
              MS. SENHAJI: Jennifer Senhaji.
              THE COURT: And your name?
25
```

```
1
              MS. RECORD: Abidja Record.
              THE COURT: And your name?
 2
 3
              MR. BABCOCK: Erik Babcock.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. I need to order all of you to
 5
   be back here at 7:30 in the morning to give testimony tomorrow.
 6
    Is there any reason why you cannot do that?
 7
              MR. BABCOCK: No, your Honor.
 8
             MR. LEVINSON: Yes, your Honor. I've got meetings in
 9
    the morning.
10
                         Well, you'll have to reschedule them.
              THE COURT:
              MR. LEVINSON: I'm trying to push something together.
11
12
   Everyone's relying on me, sir.
              THE COURT: What time would be the best for you to
13
    testify tomorrow?
14
15
              MR. LEVINSON: I'm good tomorrow at 7:30, if I can
16
   get out of here by 8:30.
17
              THE COURT: Well, I don't know by 8:30, but we'll do
18
    our best to get you out of here. And we'll put you on first.
19
         Is that okay, Mr. Brugnara?
2.0
              MR. BRUGNARA: Yes.
2.1
             MR. LEVINSON: Thank you. I'll be here tomorrow at
    7:30.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                         How about you two? You need to be here
24
   at 7:30. I order all to be here at 7:30.
25
         (Potential witnesses nodding affirmatively.)
```

1 THE COURT: So you don't need to stay around today then; just be back here at 7:30 in the morning. All right? 2 3 Thank you. You're free to go now. 4 MR. STEVENS: Just so the Court knows, there are two 5 witnesses, Tony Crossley and Frank Sanders, who have been here 6 today, who I have on phone standby. And I was going to have 7 them come back, because they are nearby. I'm going to work things out with them, but if I have to, I would just ask that 8 9 the Court be available for --THE COURT: Just a second. We're going to bring the 10 11 jury back and excuse them, and then we'll then continue this 12 after the jury has gone for the day. 13 Dawn, let's bring in the jury. 14 (Jury enters courtroom at 11:35 a.m.) 15 THE COURT: All right. Welcome, please be seated. 16 I'm just going to detain you for a minute, and then I'm going 17 to let you go for the day. We are -- it's now 11:40, almost. And we're going to break until tomorrow at 7:30 or 7:45 for 18 you. And we will continue with the case at that time. 19 2.0 But we are at a point where I need to talk with both 21 sides, and it's just going to -- it's going to take up so much 22 time that I feel it's best to let you go for now. We are 23 making good progress, and I don't want you to be disillusioned 24 by not taking advantage of the time today. 25 I do want you to know that we're far enough ahead on the

schedule that we can afford to take an hour and a half off early. 2 3 Remember my admonitions: No talking about the case. 4 though the Government's case-in-chief is now presented, you may 5 not talk about it with anyone. 6 You may not do your own investigation or your own 7 homework, and you don't go on the internet, any of those things that I previously told you not to do. You must keep an open 8 mind until all the evidence comes in. Then it will be your duty to deliberate, but not yet. 10 So, see you here tomorrow morning. Thank you. 11 12 (Jury exits courtroom at 11:38 a.m.) 13 **THE COURT:** Everyone be seated. 14 I have -- I want to go through some of the problems and 15 make sure that we -- that there is a plan in place. 16 Now, Joan Michelman, my note here says that she was 17 supposed to be on at 7:30 in the morning. Where does that 18 stand, Mr. Stevens? 19 Well, yes. She was set to be on at MR. STEVENS: 2.0 7:45 tomorrow morning. I can adjust her a little bit later. 2.1 That would be my preference. Mr. Levinson goes, and then she 22 goes. 23 THE COURT: Fine. Do that. 24 MR. STEVENS: Okay. 25 THE COURT: But you said -- you know, I need your

help to get this organized. And so you, be the organizer. Have you talked with Stefan Curl? 2 3 MR. STEVENS: I have not. 4 THE COURT: Well, you know, the images don't appear 5 in here like a hologram. It's got to be done with our court 6 staff. They have to bring in IT equipment. It's got to be 7 wired in to go all the way back to New York. 8 I don't know how to do that, but they know how to do it. 9 But it takes some time. You've got to got that worked out, yourself. 10 I understand. So I've emailed with 11 MR. STEVENS: that individual, and he's aware of what we're trying to do. 12 So I'm not sure where we're at at this point, but that process 13 14 is --Before you leave the building today you 15 THE COURT: should talk with him, make sure that that's on track. 16 17 MR. STEVENS: I will do that. 18 THE COURT: All right. Now, okay. You know about 19 these four witnesses who are here. Then you mentioned a couple 2.0 of other witnesses. So, tell me, Crossley was one. Who else 21 did you want? 22 MR. STEVENS: And Frank Sanders. They were both 23 coming back in the next few minutes. I can have them come back and have them ordered back, or I can --24 25 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, can I give their cell

```
phone number so they're not inconvenienced coming here?
 2
   They're both banking executives.
 3
              THE COURT: You give Mr. Stevens the cell phone
 4
   number.
 5
             MR. STEVENS: I have their numbers. I can call them.
 6
              THE COURT: Then you work -- you know, telephone
 7
   standby is your judgment. If you can trust them to show up, do
          It's up to you.
                            I don't have to order them to come
 8
    that.
          I do that whenever there is doubt as to whether or not
   back.
    they will come back.
10
        Now, how about Ms. Record, where does it stand with her?
11
12
   Do you still -- did you ever get the return of service?
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: She was here. She was standing right
14
    there.
15
              THE COURT:
                         That was Ms. Record?
16
             MR. STEVENS:
                            Yes, it was.
17
              THE COURT: I didn't catch her name. Okay.
                                                           Any
   other witnesses?
18
19
              MR. STEVENS:
                            I believe everything else is being
2.0
    organized. We have quite a few people now that have been told
21
    to come tomorrow, and we'll continue to adjust to what happens
22
   during the day.
              THE COURT: All right. Remember your Rule 16
23
24
   reciprocal obligations.
25
        Now, I want to say this to the Government while I'm
```

```
thinking about it. On the document that had the word
 2
    "tantrum," the Court's parole order, you need to take that
 3
   sentence out and redact it. So, before it goes into the jury
 4
           "Tantrums" and "outbursts," I think.
 5
              MS. HARRIS: Your Honor could we also redact the
 6
   portion --
 7
              MR. BRUGNARA: It was twice, your Honor, on that
 8
   page.
 9
              THE COURT:
                          It appears twice.
             MS. HARRIS: Can we also redact the portion about the
10
    Christmas furlough? Because it's not relevant to the charges.
11
12
              MR. BRUGNARA: No, it is relevant.
              MS. HARRIS: And he's going to start --
13
14
              THE COURT: Redact that.
15
              MS. HARRIS:
                           Thank you, your Honor.
16
              MR. BRUGNARA: No, your Honor, that's relevant, and I
17
    completely absolutely disagree. It's absolutely relevant.
18
    It's absolutely relevant.
19
              THE COURT: If you're going to make an argument that
2.0
   you didn't get your Christmas furlough and start blaming it on
21
    the Government, and it becomes a Donnybrook as to why somebody
22
   didn't stipulate as to -- we're not going to go down -- under
23
   Rule 403, we're not going to do that. I'm going to rule that
24
   off limits. That's off limits. It does not prove anything.
25
    So you -- you redact that as well.
```

```
1
        And I'm ordering you, Mr. Brugnara, don't bring it up.
   Don't bring up the Christmas furlough that I -- or visit, visit
 2
 3
   with your children.
 4
              MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, your Honor, did the
 5
   Government ever get even admonished for that? I'm just
 6
    curious. I know they didn't get sanctioned, but did they get
 7
    admonished?
 8
              THE COURT: It's so far past, in the past, I can't
 9
   reconstruct it.
             MR. BRUGNARA: I understand it was my family and my
10
    life, so it just doesn't matter. I get it.
11
                                                 I understand.
12
              THE COURT: No, I gave you opportunities. You had a
13
    lunch with your family at one point.
14
              MR. BRUGNARA: In September.
              THE COURT: Allen Lew was there.
15
16
              MR. BRUGNARA: Last September. That's right.
17
              THE COURT: Yeah, that's right. Okay. So it's not
18
    like I have been insensitive to that.
19
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             (Laughing.)
              THE COURT: That is so far afield from what we're
2.0
21
   going to be dealing with.
22
              MR. BRUGNARA: Can we do the Rule 29, at least, now?
23
              THE COURT: Just a second. We're going to come to
24
    that.
25
         Is there anything more by way of witnesses and preparation
```

```
for Mr. Brugnara commencing his case tomorrow that I need to
   help you with?
 2
 3
         (No response.)
 4
              THE COURT: Hearing nothing. Now, you've got to have
 5
   it lined up. If you run out of witnesses, I won't say for
 6
   sure, but you might be deemed to have rested.
 7
             MR. BRUGNARA: I'll be a witness then, and I can talk
   for days, your Honor. And I'll ask myself the questions.
 8
 9
             MR. STEVENS: The one thing I should say on that,
   your Honor, is that I asked the Sotheby's witnesses from New
10
   York City on Monday to be here on Wednesday. They basically
11
12
    told me that's impossible, and so they're set to be here
13
    Thursday morning. And I --
              THE COURT: What are their names?
14
             MR. STEVENS: Kathryn Solomon, and the counsel who
15
16
    comes with Kathryn Solomon.
17
              THE COURT: What are they going to testify to?
18
             MR. BRUGNARA: You know what, what they're going to
19
    testify to is our defense.
2.0
              THE COURT: Well, all right. I -- I don't -- at this
21
   point I'm not going to get into it.
22
             MR. STEVENS: So I --
23
              THE COURT: I question whether -- I don't know.
24
   You -- it's your job to get them here.
25
             MR. STEVENS: Right. And they will be here.
                                                            What
```

```
I'm saying is I believe we have enough witnesses for tomorrow
    for a full day. But if we cut it close, I would just ask --
 2
 3
              THE COURT:
                          If you cut it close, I will cut you that
 4
   much slack. But it's got to be -- you can't give me -- waste
 5
   an hour of time tomorrow. You've got to fill up most of the
 6
   day.
 7
         I mean, 30 minutes I'll give you, 45 minutes I would give
         But an hour, I start to draw the line.
 8
 9
              MR. STEVENS: Understood.
              THE COURT: All right.
10
11
              MR. KINGSLEY: Your Honor?
12
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MS. HARRIS: On the Sotheby's witness, the Government
13
14
   doesn't think that the testimony is going to be admissible.
15
   And before that Sotheby's person flies out here --
16
              THE COURT: I don't care. I don't care.
                                                        I mean, I
17
   do care, but you know, every time -- it's a legitimate
18
    question. But --
              MR. KINGSLEY: I just wanted to raise it.
19
              THE COURT: You've raised it. And when it turns out
2.0
21
    that -- if it turns out -- if it turns out that it's irrelevant
22
    and can't be used, then so be it.
23
        But, all right. Anything else on witnesses that I can
24
   help you with?
25
             MS. HARRIS: Yes, your Honor. The defendant just
```

said that he would be a witness and could talk for days. the Court reiterate that Mr. Brugnara is supposed to submit his 2 3 questions in advance to Mr. Stevens, who will then vet them to 4 make sure that they're--5 THE COURT: That's the procedure. 6 MS. HARRIS: -- within the Rules of Evidence. 7 THE COURT: That's the procedure. Reiterating that. All right, Rule 29. Let's hear your motion. 8 9 MR. BRUGNARA: Can you clarify to the defendant what the standard is, in the Court's view, to grant a Rule 29? 10 The question is: Is there sufficient 11 THE COURT: 12 evidence to go to the jury, even if the evidence is contested, 13 that would allow the jury to find beyond a reasonable doubt all the elements of the offense charged? 14 15 Even if you've got a defense, that doesn't matter. What 16 matters is whether or not the Government has presented 17 sufficient evidence to support its side of the problem. 18 MR. BRUGNARA: Regarding -- I want to start with 19 something that the Court mentioned for several months, in at 2.0 least three or four hearings, you discussed how concerned you were where the chain of title. 2.1 22 THE COURT: Chain of custody. 23 MR. BRUGNARA: Excuse me, chain of custody. Forgive 24 me. 25 THE COURT: I think they proved that. They have done

1 a good job on that. 2 MR. BRUGNARA: I would like to state what I have to 3 state. 4 THE COURT: State what you want, but I was paying 5 close attention. 6 MR. BRUGNARA: They made their point from the point 7 in time they -- they lost it on the very first link. the gentleman that crated -- I think his name was Mr. Trejos? 8 Yeah, Mr. Trejos who crated the missing item, whatever it is, 10 there was no one present. No expert present. No insurance 11 company present. 12 He testified under oath that he's not an expert. That he has no formal training in art, and he simply makes crates for 13 this shipping company. All he said is he doesn't know the 14 15 difference between a real and a fake. So there is no -- no chain of custody on the missing item, 16 17 because what was ever put in the crate, they can never prove. 18 They can prove that it -- if you believe all of the delivery 19 drivers -- and we don't put up a defense to refute the chain 2.0 that it made it to wherever, but we don't know what was in it. 2.1 And your exact quote, and I can pull it up from transcript 22 if you give me an hour: We don't know what was in that crate. 23 Could have been a fake, could have been a bunch of bubble qum. 24 THE COURT: That's what I said. "Bubble qum." 25 MR. BRUGNARA: And you said it could have been a

fake, it could have been --2 THE COURT: They took a picture of it. And it wasn't 3 bubble gum inside the crate. It was the Little Dancer. 4 MR. BRUGNARA: The guy said it could have been a 5 fake, or it could have been -- the guy already said he didn't 6 know whether it was a fake. 7 In order for it to be proved up that it was not a fake -and I also -- if you really check the transcript clearly, I do 8 know what I'm doing. Even though you don't think I know what I'm doing. 10 I asked a specific question to Rose Long, and I asked a 11 specific question to Walter Maibaum: Were you there when that 12 item was crated? And he said no, and she said no. 13 14 And I asked the guy that packed it, then. So we know 15 neither of them were there. 16 And then I asked the guy, Trejos: Was there an insurance 17 company there. He said no. Them I asked a real big question, because Maibaum said 18 what certifies it as a Valsuani and not a fake or bubble gum, 19 2.0 whatever you want to use -- metaphor you want to use, was the two certificates. 2.1 22 Maibaum said under oath: Hey, we had one certificate, and 23 the other one was coming from Paris, France. 24 Okay. I asked Trejos: Was there at least one certificate 25 that you put in the box? He said there is no certificate put

in the box. Just -- just the bronze piece. 2 So what the testimony proves is: We don't know what was 3 in the box. What qualifies it as a Valsuani was not in the 4 box, despite the fact that they both said that the certificates 5 came with the sale, to make it fully qualified as a Valsuani. 6 So, like you said, it's a fake in the box; there's no 7 proof that it's real Valsuani. And that has to be removed from chain of title. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. What's the Government say in 10 response? MS. HARRIS: Your Honor, the Government doesn't have 11 to prove chain -- he's saying "chain of title." 12 13 THE COURT: It's chain of custody. MS. HARRIS: What's at issue is the chain of custody. 14 We proved from where it originated at Cirkers until it was 15 delivered at Sea Cliff. We had every witness along the way, 16 17 including the one who packed it, and took a photograph of what 18 he put in there and what's now missing. We know what was put in there, because we've got the 19 2.0 photograph of it, that we proved that it was packed up. When 21 the trucker came to pick it up from Cirkers, we had the trucker 22 testify about the five crates. Then the trucker delivered it 23 to the warehouse. And the warehouse person testified, and 24 brought in his timesheets with the five pieces. 25 Then the American Airlines person who picked up the five

pieces from the warehouse testified to the five pieces he loaded on the plane. 2 3 Then the person who -- Eddy Castillo picks it up here in 4 San Francisco, at San Francisco Airport. 5 MR. BRUGNARA: Your Honor, she's --6 THE COURT: Please, Mr. Brugnara. 7 MS. HARRIS: And he testifies to the five pieces that 8 he picked up and brought, and had Mr. Brugnara personally help 9 unload. And I will point out that Mr. Castillo said he was 100 percent certain it was five pieces, as did Warner Trejos. 10 MR. BRUGNARA: She's not listening to what I said, 11 obviously. What I -- I didn't refute the chain in the link. 12 refuted the first chain of the link, where you specifically 13 said -- this is well before Ms. Harris ever got into the case. 14 15 It was with Doug Sprague. 16 You admonished Doug Sprague at least four times. 17 you give me an hour in that cell, I'll pull up all four times. 18 And you said it with different verbiage, but the last one was 19 the "bubble gum" and "a fake." 2.0 And there were other ones. You wagged your finger at him, 21 and said: Man, I'm telling you, I think you've got a problem 22 with the chain of title because you don't know what was in the 23 There'd better be someone that saw that go in the box. 24 And you know what? There wasn't. Rose Long wasn't there. 25 Walter Maibaum wasn't there. Their two experts. And, in fact,

the guy admitted -- now, if he admitted: Hey, I'm an expert, that's something else. He admitted he's not an expert. 2 3 doesn't know the difference between a fake and a real. 4 Notwithstanding the fact that this guy Maibaum has got a 5 whole track record of selling fakes to SI Newhouse, Christie's, 6 selling thousand-dollar fakes for \$6 million. This guy's got a 7 real bad track record on this. And hey, hey, hey, get this, get this. For a Columbo 8 9 explanation, lo and behold, the guy puts in a \$2 million insurance claim. It doesn't take a brain surgeon to figure out 10 11 what this guy Maibaum's about. It was an insurance fraud. But the fact is they don't have to worry about it in this 12 case, because they don't have any proof of what was in that 13 crate. And this is the irony. 14 THE COURT: I thought you said you didn't get the 15 16 Are you on -- is your position that you didn't get the crate. 17 crate? Or you did get the crate? 18 MR. BRUGNARA: If you read my Form 12 testimony, and I've never deviated from it, I said I don't know whether four 19 or five were delivered. I never said there were four or five 2.0 2.1 delivered. I don't know how many were delivered. I don't know 22 how many crates were delivered. They were all piled in there. 23 That wasn't a big concern of mine. I was working with Mark 24 Levinson on a couple of big deals. It was not a big concern. THE COURT: All right. 25 This --

1 MR. BRUGNARA: But, but, you had already prefaced this entire trial, saying: You better make sure somebody saw 2 3 what was going in there that knew what was going in. And this 4 quy testified: I don't know what -- I don't know the 5 difference between a Valsuani and the one you buy online for 6 100 bucks. 7 THE COURT: All right. This is not a hard problem. Mr. Brugnara has, up to a point, he raises a point that can be 8 argued to the jury, I guess. But I'm not even sure then where it would go. 10 There were five -- the evidence is overwhelming, and 11 12 certainly enough to go to the jury that there were five boxes. 13 The box that we're arguing over now had something in it, because the photograph looked like it, that was a -- resembled 14 15 and to my eye looked exactly like the Little Dancer. 16 So, there's evidence from which the jury can conclude that the Little Dancer was in that box. 17 18 MR. BRUGNARA: Can you, can you --19 So now you say: Well, I only know --THE COURT: 2.0 MR. BRUGNARA: That will help you. That's what you get, an exact computerized --21 22 THE COURT: You interrupted me again. 23 MR. BRUGNARA: That's a computerized replica of the exact measurements, and what's the price? 24 25 THE COURT: Now, if it was worth even \$10, it's wire

fraud. 1 2 MR. BRUGNARA: If there was fraud. 3 THE COURT: If there were -- if you got them to 4 deliver that, using the wires through a scheme to cheat Rose 5 Long, then you are guilty of wire fraud. Even if she's trying 6 to defraud you, even if she's negligent, and even if what was 7 in there was worth a lot less than they were going to charge you for it. 8 9 And you have been off on a -- and I've told you this before -- a bogus defense from day one that her fraud -- if it 10 11 were a fraud, and I'm not saying it was -- if she was guilty of fraud it somehow erases your fraud. That's not the law. 12 13 MR. BRUGNARA: I never said --THE COURT: That's not the law. 14 I never said -- I always stated that I 15 MR. BRUGNARA: 16 had a one-year contingency period, and she superseded that by 17 saying this is a gift, when she was drunk and on drugs. 18 But the fact of the matter is, I had one year. memorialized in the email. That was the last correspondence 19 2.0 regarding any due-diligence period. So the fact of the matter 2.1 is, a due-diligence period, as Mr. Schochet very clearly 22 stated, is in fact not a formalized, finalized contract. It is 23 a period where you can in fact --24 THE COURT: Well, then that's an argument for the 25 jury.

```
1
              MR. BRUGNARA: I'm not worried about that.
              THE COURT: You argue to the jury --
 2
 3
              MR. BRUGNARA:
                             I'm trying to carve out the one
 4
    item --
 5
              THE COURT: -- that she gave that to you.
                                                         And if
 6
   anyone believes that, then you are pretty good.
 7
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well, I haven't even put on my case
        Believe me.
                      That's why I want to take a little bit of a
 8
 9
   break.
        Do you think I can get a billion dollars from a standing
10
    start, and not know how to talk to people?
11
12
              THE COURT: Look.
13
              MR. BRUGNARA: Watch and see.
              THE COURT: The argument that the counts are
14
   defective on chain of custody is denied. I don't -- I'm not
15
16
   going to say anything more about that.
17
        Let's move -- we're -- at 2:00 o'clock I have to kick you
18
    out of this room because I have a criminal calendar. So we're
19
   using up time that I would otherwise give to you --
2.0
              MR. BRUGNARA: Well, then we'll recess. Because this
21
    is the thing: Everything with my is always the big rush job,
22
    and then I go sit in a cell with a bunch of murderers.
23
              THE COURT: You bring up bogus points. I have to --
24
   and then you interrupt me.
25
             MR. BRUGNARA: Let me ask you this. Let's say that
```

thing is worth \$100. You have three auction houses say that 2 thing's worth \$100. Or maybe \$1,000. Do you think it's judicial economy sitting there on the 3 4 bench, using your Rule 2 to oversee this, that the Government 5 would spend a million dollars to go try to figure out: Hey, 6 this -- Aoyagi said it best. Man, you guys run out of bad 7 They got the FBI and everyone else, and spending all this money, when this woman is nuts and the guy is a fraudster. 8 9 You do all you can to insulate the jury, shielding the truth about what these people are all about. Man, they're 10 11 suing each another right now in Federal Court --THE COURT: Rule 29 --12 13 MR. BRUGNARA: -- saying stuff isn't real. THE COURT: The Rule 29 motion is denied in it's 14 15 entirety. It's pointless. So, be prepared to go forward 16 tomorrow. 17 MR. BRUGNARA: I would like to make a motion for reconsideration on full disclosure of that lawsuit. 18 19 THE COURT: No, no. We're not going to do any of 2.0 that. You and your two lawyers, you sit here. 2.1 I'm going to ask the Marshals to leave him in here until 22 2:00 when the normal criminal calendar starts. So you have two 23 hours now with your -- and if you, Mr. Stevens want to go down 24 and get him a cafeteria lunch, just like we did the other day, 25 be my guest. And, we'll stay here until 2:00.

```
The Marshals will watch you and then -- is that all right
 1
 2
    with the Marshals?
 3
              THE MARSHAL: Yes.
              THE COURT: All right. So you take advantage of the
 4
 5
    time, and good luck with you and your -- your lawyers.
    Rule 29 is denied.
 6
 7
              MR. KINGSLEY:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
         (Whereupon at 11:57 a.m. further proceedings were
 9
          adjourned until Wednesday, May 6, 2015 at 7:30 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## I N D E X

PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
SHLYAPINA, NATALIA		_
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION PLAYED	1793	7
KOLC, MARK		
(SWORN)	1806	7
Direct Examination by Ms. Harris	1807	7
Cross Examination by Mr. Brugnara	1819	7
PRITCHETT, HAROLD		
(SWORN)	1830	7
Direct Examination by Ms. Harris	1830	
Cross Examination by Mr. Brugnara	1845	7
TARTT, DEJUAN		
(SWORN)	1859	7
Direct Examination by Mr. Kingsley	1860	7
Cross Examination by Mr. Brugnara	1868	7
HIEBERT, IAN		
(SWORN)	1868	7
Direct Examination by Mr. Kingsley-	1869	7
Cross Examination by Mr. Brugnara	1880	7
ARAGON, DONNA		
(SWORN)	1881	7
Direct Examination by Mr. Kingsley	1882	7
Cross Examination by Mr. Brugnara	1887	7
AOYAGI, TIM		
(SWORN)	1889	7
Direct Examination by Ms. Harris	1889	7
Cross Examination by Mr. Brugnara	1899	7

## EXHIBITS

	TRIAL EXHIBITS	IDEN	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
	135			1795	7
	136			1797	7
	137			1803	7
	138			1805	7
	139			1805	7
	141			1810	7
	142			1814	7
	143			1833	7
	144A			1836	7
	144B			1840	7
	145			1866	7
	146			1873	7
	147			1877	7
	150			1899	7
	287			1905	7
	293			1906	7
ı					

\_ \_ \_

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llelia X. Pad

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

BelleBall

Belle Ball, CSR 8785, CRR, RMR, RPR

Tuesday, May 5, 2015